

CITY OF MARSHALL Work Session A g e n d a Tuesday, November 09, 2021 at 3:45 PM City Hall, 344 West Main Street

Pursuant to Minnesota Statute 13D.02

Councilmember James Lozinski will participate by Interactive Technology at 2109 Commerce Drive NW, Rochester, MN 55901.

CALL TO ORDER

NEW BUSINESS

<u>1.</u> Aquatic Center project update

ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION

- 2. Close Meeting to Discuss Real Property Identified as Follows:
 - 27-792005-0
 - 27-792006-0
 - 27-792007-0
 - 27-792002-0
 - 27-792003-0
 - 27-126017-0
 - 27-126018-0

RECONVENE TO WORK SESSION

ADJOURNMENT

Disclaimer: These agendas have been prepared to provide information regarding an upcoming meeting of the Common Council of the City of Marshall. This document does not claim to be complete and is subject to change.



CITY OF MARSHALL AGENDA ITEM REPORT

| Meeting Date: | Tuesday, November 9, 2021 |
|----------------------------|---|
| Category: | NEW BUSINESS |
| Туре: | INFO |
| Subject: | Aquatic Center project update |
| Background Information: | Staff will update Council on status/progress of Aquatic Center project. |
| Fiscal Impact: | |
| Alternative/ | |
| Variations: | |
| Recommendations: | |



Project Goals

- Custom design for the Marshall community.
 - Facility should be different than any other facility in the area to draw people to Marshall.
 - Long term vision for the aquatic center thinking beyond today's use.
 - Flow and operational efficiencies of the facility for users and staff.

FTSING



- 1. Ball field is currently not used often.
- 2. Current stormwater pond location.
- 3. Possible new park shop location.
- 4. Keep skate park open during pool construction.
- 5. Blind corner is dangerous.
- 6. Large trees could be planted as batter's eye for baseball field.
- 7. Move cannon, possibly to park entrance.
- 8. Bike path could be moved to other sid river.



m

llG

Item 1.

Existing Facility

Pros:

- Pool is protected, away from roadways.
- Entire facility gets used.
- Bike access to pool is good and heavily used.

Cons:

- Vehicle traffic in and out of facility gets congested.
- There is not enough parking during park events.
- Pool facility entrance does not function well.
- No zero depth entry.
- Restrooms need updating.
- There is not a good space for kids too old for Kid's Pool, but too small for the open Leisure Pool.
- There is no lawn space.
- Minimal shade at the facility.





Pool Programming Exercise





Programs:

- 1. Open Swim
- 2. Learn to Swim
- 3. Competition Swimming
- 4. Lifeguard Training
- 5. Water Polo

Pool Type:

- **1. Lazy River**
- 2. Deep Water Springboard Diving
- 3. Wave Pool
- 4. Splash Pad
- 5. Competition 50M by 25YD

Features:

- **1. Iconic Water Slide**
- 2. Lazy River
- **3. Zero Depth Entry**
- 4. Water Crossing Walk
- **5. Spring Board Diving**



Page 6







Bathhouse Floor Plan





| Department | SF | Quantity | Total SF | Notes |
|---------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-------------------------|
| Bathhouse | | | 3,905 | |
| Tickets | 100 | 1 | 100 | |
| Family Toilet | 100 | 6 | 600 | With showers |
| Concessions | 400 | 1 | 400 | |
| Entry/Exit Lobby | 440 | 1 | 440 | |
| Storage | 120 | 1 | 120 | |
| | | | 1,660 | |
| First Aid | 105 | 1 | 105 | Counter/sink |
| Break Room | 275 | 1 | 275 | |
| Manager Office | 100 | 1 | 100 | |
| Kitchenette | 0 | 1 | - | |
| Staff Toilets | 70 | 1 | 70 | 1 staff toilet? |
| Employee Lockers | 0 | 1 | - | In break room? |
| | | | 550 | |
| Mechanical/Electrical | 75 | 1 | 75 | |
| Storage/Custodial | 120 | 1 | 120 | |
| Electrical (telecom room) | 65 | 1 | 65 | |
| Mechanical/Electrical | 165 | 1 | 165 | Bath house side |
| Janitorial Storage | 75 | 1 | 75 | |
| | | | 500 | |
| Men's Passage | 100 | 1 | 100 | |
| Men's Sinks | 100 | 1 | 100 | 2 sinks |
| Men's Toilets | 150 | 1 | 150 | 1 toilet, 2 urinals |
| Men's Showers | 85 | 1 | 85 | 2 showers |
| Men's Changing | 100 | 1 | 100 | |
| | | | 535 | |
| Women's Passage | 125 | 1 | 125 | |
| Women's Sinks | 100 | 1 | 100 | 2 sinks |
| Women's Toilets | 200 | 1 | 200 | 3 toilets |
| Women's Showers | 85 | 1 | 85 | 2 showers |
| Women's Changing | 150 | 1 | 150 | 1 private changing room |
| | | | 660 | |





FIRST FLOOR CONCEPT PLAN

Page 9



By the Numbers

MARSHALL CULTIVATING THE BEST IN US



Total Water Area Competition Pool Activity Pool Leisure River Splash Pad **Bather Capacity Bath House Mechanical Building Parking Provided** Parking Required

Existing Facility: 11,124 sf 7,564 sf (lap pool) 1,590 sf (deep water) N/A 1,970 sf (wading pool) 665 users 4,068* sf *Included above **134 spaces** 147 spaces

Option 'A' (25 Yard): Option 'B' (50 Meter): 23,735 sf 16,987 sf 12,397 sf 4,587 sf 7,000 sf 5,626 sf 3,300 sf 3,612 sf 2,100 sf 2,100 sf 1,400 users 744 users 6,000 sf 6,000 sf 5,300 sf 5,300 sf **199 spaces 199**** spaces 205 spaces 273 spaces ****100 additional spaces ava** Page 10 as future expansion to west, aroung

Legion Field Road.



Costs

MARSHALL CULTIVATING THE BEST IN US



Concept 'A' (25 Yard)



\$14,192,775 Total Estimated Project Costs

Concept 'B' (50 Meter)



\$16,127,455 Total Estimated Project Cost



Costs

MARSHALL CULTIVATING THE BEST IN US



Concept 'A' (25 Yard)



| Total | \$14,192,775 |
|-----------|--------------|
| Soft* | \$3,258,325 |
| Buildings | \$2,639,500 |
| Pools | \$5,526,200 |
| Site | \$2,768,750 |

*Soft Costs include owner furnished items allowance, construction escalation, continue allowance, construction escalation, continue allowance contractor general conditions, project fees & geotechnical

STOCKWELL



SEI No. 21045

TECHNICAL MEMO

To: Jessie Dehn City of Marshall

From: Heidi Condon

Re: Marshall Aquatic Center Marshall, MN

Stockwell Engineers has completed a preliminary drainage analysis for proposed Marshall Aquatic Center. Surface Water Management Plan Construction Standards Section 30-45 (5)c. outline redevelopment projects that create one or more acres of new and/or fully reconstructed impervious surfaces shall manage stormwater volume and pollutants by applying the new development standard. New development projects are required to achieve no net increase of stormwater discharge volume, discharges of total suspended solids (TSS) and discharge of total phosphorus (TP) from preproject conditions. The enclosed analysis identifies preproject conditions at the existing site located at Legion Field Park and compares those values to discharges anticipated from the redeveloped site.



Design standards for stormwater detention facilities constructed in Marshall shall be designed according to the most current technology as reflected in the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) publication, *Protecting Water Quality in Urban Areas.* The proposed site at Legion Field Park lies adjacent to and drains directly into the Redwood River. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency has identified the Redwood River as an impaired waterway and requires additional protection to meet the Construction General Permit (CGP).

Pre-Developed (Historic) Conditions

Stockwell Engineers used Hydraflow Hydrographs modeling software to calculate Pre-Developed Conditions hydrology, or how much runoff is generated by the site prior to development. Precipitation data was taken from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 's Atlas 14 Frequency Estimates for Marshall, MN. Pre-Developed land use of the site is assumed to be pasture land, and USGS soil survey indicates that Type C hydrologic soil groups are present over the entire site. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) TR-55 methodology was used to estimate runoff generated by the site prior to any development. The gently sloping site sheds storm runoff directly into the river to the north, east and west. Figure 1 attached depicts delineated subbasin delineations delineated from the topographic survey of the site.

ENGINEERING / LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE / SURVEYING

STOCKWELLENGINEERS.COM / 605.338.6668 / SIOUX FALLS / YANKTON



BFEs, as measured to the nearest 0.00'. This process is typically referred to as a "No-Rise" Analysis or floodway encroachment analysis and must be supported by technical data developed by a registered engineer. If the project cannot demonstrate No-Rise, the community may allow it to proceed only after the applicant applies for and receives approval from FEMA for a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR).

Approval of the CLOMR allows the community to permit the construction project as presented in the CLOMR, and construction may begin. An approved CLOMR includes a detailed review of the project by FEMA and their mapping partners. A typical CLOMR can take between 6 months and 1 year to get approval from FEMA. A CLOMR is FEMA's comment on a proposed project and does not physically change the map. Once the construction project for which the CLOMR was approved must first be completed and a follow-up Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) reflecting as-built conditions be completed to revise the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM).

Summary

This high-level analysis indicates there may be sufficient space on the site to incorporate the required storage for stormwater detention and water quality needed to meet Engineering Design Standards. The storm-water management analysis will be refined once a grading plan is completed to verify that the depths assumed in the high-level analysis can be achieved and the estimated volumes provided for. The proposed site maximizes use of open spaces and landscape areas to utilize for stormwater management.

Stockwell Engineers would recommend the site at Legion Field Park be reconsidered or revised based on work planned in the regulatory floodway. The process required to meet Federal requirements will delay the project and increase both engineering and construction costs. Any encroachment that includes the placement of fill material within the regulatory floodway will require an in-depth analysis of the Redwood River at this location. The extent of encroachment as shown in the preliminary layout will likely cause a rise significantly higher that 0.00 feet. Mitigation of this rise is unlikely, and a CLOMR would be necessary to get the project permitted.



| | 0 | - | | A F | | | | |
|----------|---------|--------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | 0 | | 0 | 1 | State PA | | | |
| | | Post-Develop | ed Site Di | scharges | 3 | | | BIORETENTION IN LANDSCAPING FEATURES - |
| Subbasin | Area | CN value | Tc | Q2(cfs) | Q ₁₀ (cfs) | Q ₁₀₀ (cfs) | | |
| A | 0.22 | 83 | 6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.7 | | TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME = 16,335 ft ³ TOTAL SURFACE AREA = 16,390 ft ² |
| В | 0.83 | 85 | 6 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 6.8 | | |
| С | 0.40 | 91 | 6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.5 | | |
| D | 0.29 | 76 | 6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.0 | | |
| Subtotal | | | | 3.9 | 7.1 | 14.0 | BASINS A-D | |
| | VVE | EST FOND DIS | CHARGE | 1.0 | 1.6 | 4.5 | \rightarrow DETENTION STORAGE = 12,000 ft ³ | |
| E | 1.60 | 91 | 6 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 14.1 | | |
| F | 1.22 | 90 | 6 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 10.7 | | |
| G | 1.93 | 96 | 6 | 6.4 | 9.8 | 17.0 | | |
| Subtotal | | | ~ | 14.4 | 22.9 | 40.7 | BASINS E-G | |
| Castolai | FA | ST POND DIS | CHARGE | | 5.0 | 11.8 | \rightarrow DETENTION STORAGE = 40,000 ft ³ | |
| - | 5 | | | 1.5 | 0.0 | 11.0 | | |
| то | TALSITE | RUNOFF GEN | IERATED | 18.3 | 29.9 | 54.5 | | |
| | тс | TAL SITE DIS | CHARGE | 2.2 | 6.5 | 16.3 | → TOTAL DETENTION STORAGE = 52,000 f | r |
| | | | | | | | | |

Marshall Aquatic Center | Drainage Analysis | Marshall, MN 09/17/2021





By the Numbers

MARSHALL CULTIVATING THE BEST IN US



| Total Water Area | 1 |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Competition Pool | |
| Activity Pool | |
| Leisure River | |
| Splash Pad | |
| | |
| Bather Capacity | 6 |
| Bather Capacity Bath House | 6 4 |
| | |
| Bath House | 4 |
| Bath House echanical Building | 4 |

| Existing Facility: | Option 'A' |
|------------------------|------------|
| 11,124 sf | 19,587 sf |
| 7,564 sf (lap pool) | 4,587 sf |
| 1,590 sf (deep water) | 7,000 sf |
| N/A | 5,900 sf |
| 1,970 sf (wading pool) | 2,100 sf |
| 665 users | 1,184 user |
| 4,068* sf | 6,000 sf |
| *Included above | 5,300 sf |
| 134 spaces | 199 spaces |
| 147 spaces | 226 spaces |
| | |

<u>'A' (25 Yard):</u> **Option 'C' (Alt. Site):** 20,387 sf 4,587 sf 6,900 sf 5,900 sf 3,000 sf 1,232 users users 6,000 sf 5,300 sf 220 spaces aces 234 spaces

STOCKWELLENGINEER





Concept 'C'











CITY OF MARSHALL AGENDA ITEM REPORT

| Meeting Date: | Tuesday, November 9, 2021 |
|----------------------------|--|
| Category: | INFORMATION ONLY |
| Туре: | INFO |
| Subject: | Close Meeting to Discuss Real Property Identified as Follows: 27-792005-0 27-792006-0 27-792007-0 27-792002-0 27-792003-0 27-126017-0 27-126018-0 |
| Background Information: | MN Statutes 13D.05 Subd. 3. (c) A public body may close a meeting: (1) to determine the asking price for real or personal property to be sold by the government entity; |
| | (2) to review confidential or protected nonpublic appraisal data under section <u>13.44</u> , <u>subdivision 3</u> ; and |
| | (3) to develop or consider offers or counteroffers for the purchase or sale of real or personal property. |
| | Before holding a closed meeting under this paragraph, the public body must identify on the record the particular real or personal property that is the subject of the closed meeting. The proceedings of a meeting closed under this paragraph must be tape recorded at the expense of the public body. The recording must be preserved for eight years after the date of the meeting and made available to the public after all real or personal property discussed at the meeting has been purchased or sold or the governing body has abandoned the purchase or sale. The real or personal property that is the subject of the closed meeting must be specifically identified on the tape. A list of members and all other persons present at the closed meeting must be made available to the public after the closed meeting. If an action is brought claiming that public business other than discussions allowed under this paragraph was transacted a a closed meeting held under this paragraph during the time when the tape is not available to the public, section <u>13D.03</u> , <u>subdivision 3</u> , applies. |
| | An agreement reached that is based on an offer considered at a closed meeting is contingent on approval of the public body at an open meeting. The actual purchase o sale must be approved at an open meeting after the notice period required by statute or the governing body's internal procedures, and the purchase price or sale price is public data. |

| Fiscal Impact: | N/A |
|------------------|--|
| Alternative/ | None |
| Variations: | |
| Recommendations: | Close Meeting as per MN Statutes 13D.05 Subd. 3 (c) to Discuss Real Property Identified as |
| | Follows: |
| | 27-792005-0 |
| | 27-792006-0 |
| | 27-792007-0 |
| | 27-792002-0 |
| | 27-792003-0 |
| | 27-126017-0 |
| | 27-126018-0 |
| | |



