

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Consider Approval of the Minutes from the Regular Meeting Held on July 10, 2024

PUBLIC HEARING

- 2. Consider the request of the Turkey Valley Farms to rezone these two lots from R-1 One Family District to R-2 One to Four Family District and a Conditional Use Permit for a parking lot in an R2 District
- <u>3.</u> Consider the request of Paul and Joanne Stoneberg for a Conditional Use Permit for three multi-family apartment buildings in a B-3 General Business District at 1000 Clarice Avenue
- Consider the request of Independent Lumber for a Conditional Use Permit for a Lumberyard in a B-3 General Business District

OLD BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

ADJOURN

Disclaimer: These agendas have been prepared to provide information regarding an upcoming meeting of the Common Council of the City of Marshall. This document does not claim to be complete and is subject to change.

MINUTES OF THE MARSHALL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING JULY 10, 2024

MEMBERS PRESENT:
MEMBERS ABSENT:Pieper, Deutz, Agboola, Muchlinski, Doom, Lee
Stoneberg,
Jason Anderson, Ilya Gutman, Amanda Schroeder,
Christina Cruz-Jennings (via Zoom)

Call to Order.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Lee.

Approval of the Minutes.

Chairperson Lee asked for the approval of the minutes of the June 12, 2024, regular meeting of the Marshall Planning Commission. DOOM MADE A MOTION, SECOND BY MUCHLINSKI, to approve the minutes as written. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION. MOTION PASSED 6:0.

Consider the request for a Variance Adjustment Permit for a Reduced Side Yard

Anderson presented this request from the property owner to construct a new addition that would be located five feet from the side property line instead of the required ten feet. Granting of a variance may be permitted only if the request meets the "practical difficulties" test, which requires that proposed use is reasonable, that the problem is caused by conditions that are unique to this property, and that granting the variance will not change the character of the area. Building an addition is obviously reasonable. However, there is nothing unique about this property, which is a typical rectangular interior lot. Additionally, there are no other buildings around that are too close to their side property lines so building this addition may change the character of the area. Steve Sussner – part owner of Borch's Sporting Goods, 1309 East College Drive, informed that the plan is to build a production warehouse facility. Sussner shared there is no space in back, front or east of the building to add the addition. Pieper asked why he couldn't go ten feet. Sussner replied there is not enough room to go ten feet. Pieper asked why not use the front. Sussner stated the City Zoning Ordinance requires specific parking requirements. Doom asked if the parcel to the west was landlocked. Anderson confirmed the parcel to the west was landlocked. Deutz asked why the company was able to construct concrete surface closer than 10-FT to the lot line. Anderson shared the City Ordinance prohibits a building to be built up to the lot line but does not prohibit concrete flat work all the way up to the lot line. Doom shared the location is unique due to the parcel next door being landlocked. MUCHLINSKI MADE A MOTION, SECOND BY DEUTZ, to close the public hearing. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. DOOM MADE A MOTION SECOND BY DEUTZ to recommend approval to the City Council of the request by Mike Dalager for a Variance Adjustment Permit for a reduced side vard setback to five feet. ALL VOTED ÎN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 6:0.

Public Hearing on Preliminary Plat of Ag Plus Swenson Addition

Jason informed the purpose of this plat is to better describe parcels of land in this area and to better describe and identify access agreements across parcels. Anderson shared property owners desire to better describe the parcels of land in the area and are working on different access agreements and possible improvements on the property and they've determined the first step should be to plat the property. Anderson informed City staff has reviewed the Preliminary Plat and recommends approval to City Council. Brian Kor, 2517 River Road, stated he is representing a couple property owners and was wondering if there was any consideration in extending down the frontage road by Action Sports, all the way to the manufactured home park entrance. Jason shared the city is not involved in this project. Anderson stated this was a private project between private property owners and the city is not in the position at this time to do any frontage roads in any direction. Steve Traen, 1100 East Main Street, informed that AgPlus wants to put in a frontage road from Canoga Drive into the AG Plus lot. Traen shared their only access is located on Highway 59 and becomes difficult when there is construction. Traen stated the State will not allow access from Highway 23. Doom asked why this was brought to the Planning Commission members if it is a private project. Anderson informed the City Ordinance requires property to be platted prior to subdivision and much of this land area is not currently platted. In addition, platting lots in this area makes it simpler for Ag Plus and Swenson to create access agreements and transfer property. Anderson shared the Planning Commission's role is to review the Preliminary Plat for compliance with City Code. DOOM MADE A MOTION, SECOND BY PEIPER, to close the public hearing. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MUCHLINSKI MADE A MOTION, SECOND BY AGBOOLA to recommend approval of the preliminary plat of Ag Plus Swenson Addition to the City Council, subject to utility companies review and recommendations. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 6:0.

Other Business

Since there was no other business, DOOM MADE A MOTION SECOND BY AGBOOLA, to adjourn the meeting. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 6:0 Chairperson Lee declared the meeting adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Korlo Ellis, Recording Secretary

Item 1.



CITY OF MARSHALL AGENDA ITEM REPORT PC 8/14/24

Presenter:	Ilya Gutman
Meeting Date:	Wednesday, August 14, 2024
Category:	PUBLIC HEARING
Туре:	ACTION
Subject:	Consider the request of the Turkey Valley Farms to rezone these two lots from R-1 One Family District to R-2 One to Four Family District and a Conditional Use Permit for a parking lot in an R-2 District
Background Information:	This is a request to, first, rezone this area from R-1 One-Family District to R-2 One-to-Four Family District, and then, to approve a Conditional Use Permit for a parking lot in an R-2 District. Turkey Valley Farms is looking for a way to provide more off-street parking for its workforce.
	This area is shown as medium density residential use on the Future Use Map in the Marshall 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Consequently, rezoning to R-2 will be in compliance with the new Comprehensive Plan. Parking lots are conditional uses in R-2.
	One to Four Family Residence District regulations are in Section 86-98. The Conditional Use Permit regulations are found in Section 86-46 and the Standards for Hearing are found in Section 86-49.
	Please see attached Finding of Facts for more detailed information.
Fiscal Impact:	None Known
Alternative/ Variations:	None recommended but additional reasonable conditions as proposed by the Planning Commission may be added to a conditional use permit.
Recommendations:	 Close public hearing. Recommend to City Council an approval of the request to rezone the area from R-1 Single Family Residence to R-2 One to Four Family Residence. Recommend to City Council an approval of the request to grant a Conditional Use Permit for a parking lot in an R-2 One to Four Family Residence District with the following
	 conditions: a. The only parking access shall be from South 6th Street; b. Ten-foot landscaped setbacks are required on all four sides; c. A buffer planting screen or fence shall separate parking from adjacent residential lots d. At least four trees shall be planted;

Marshall Planning Commission Report to City Council – Request for Rezoning 306 and 308 South 6th Street, City of Marshall, Lyon County, Minnesota

WHEREAS, the office of the City of Marshall Zoning Administrator received an application dated June 25, 2024, for rezoning from R-1 single family residence district to R-2 one to four family residence district for properties located at 306 and 308 South 6th Street,

WHEREAS, the applicant for the rezoning was the property owner Turkey Valley Farms,

WHEREAS, City staff representatives from the Community Planning Department reviewed the application for the rezoning;

WHEREAS, the 2040 Comprehensive Plan shows medium density residential use for this area;

WHEREAS, a public hearing was scheduled for August 14, 2024, to consider the request for rezoning and notice of that hearing was published and was mailed pursuant to provisions of Ordinance Sec. 86-47 and further in compliance with Minnesota Statutes;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has evaluated all applicable considerations and finds and determines that rezoning as requested is consistent with the current Comprehensive Plan and will further City development.

NOW THEREFORE, it is recommended by the Planning Commission to the Marshall City Council that rezoning from R-1 to R-2 be approved as recommended by staff. The motion offered by and seconded by , and declared carried on the following vote: Ayes: Nays: Abstained: Passed: _____

Marshall Planning Commission

By: Cathy Lee Its: Chair

Marshall Planning Commission Report to City Council – Request for Conditional Use Permit 306 and 308 South 6th Street, City of Marshall, Lyon County, Minnesota

WHEREAS, the office of the City of Marshall Zoning Administrator received an application for Conditional Use Permits dated June 25, 2024, for a parking lot on property located at 306 and 308 South 6th Street,

WHEREAS, the applicant for the Conditional Use Permits was the property owner Turkey Valley Farms,

WHEREAS, a written request for a Conditional Use Permit is subject to the Minnesota 60-day rule as codified in Minnesota Statutes §15.99. The 60-day rule requires an approval or denial of a Conditional Use Permit within 60 days of the time Conditional Use Permit request is submitted. If no action occurs on the request for Conditional Use Permit within 60 days, it is deemed approved pursuant to Minnesota Statute;

WHEREAS, City staff representatives from the Community Planning Department reviewed the application for the Conditional Use Permit;

WHEREAS, Conditional Use Permits are granted only for those uses specifically listed as conditional uses for a particular zoning district;

WHEREAS, this property is currently zoned R-1 one family residence district but is requested to be rezoned to R-2 one to four family residence district as defined in Ordinance Sec. 86-98, and parking lots are a conditional use in this district;

WHEREAS, a public hearing was scheduled for August 14, 2024, to consider the request for a Conditional Use Permit and notice of that hearing was published and was mailed pursuant to provisions of Ordinance Sec. 86-47 and further in compliance with Minnesota Statutes;

WHEREAS, the public hearing was held as scheduled and the Planning Commission considered the following standard criteria for Conditional Use Permit review as outlined in Ordinance Sec. 86-49:

- (1) Whether the proposed use is compatible with the existing neighborhood environment and use.
- (2) The adequacy of the access to roads and rights-of-way.
- (3) The additional traffic generated by facility.
- (4) The landscaping, fencing and/or screening plan.
- (5) The outside storage provisions.
- (6) The accessory buildings provisions.
- (7) The facility size.
- (8) The area of site.
- (9) The off-street parking facilities.
- (10) The density of the population and structures.
- (11) The duration of proposed interim use.
- (12) The natural features of the area.
- (13) The availability of existing utility and public service facility.
- (14) The future maintenance provisions.
- (15) Whether the proposed use will be injurious to the property or improvements in the area adjacent to such proposed use and the community as a whole.

WHEREAS, Staff offered the following information to the Planning Commission with a recommendation for the Planning Commission to recommend approval to the Council:

- (1) This area is located next to a Turkey Valley Farms and other commercial entities, including parking lots.
- (2) The property has adequate access from South 6th Street.
- (3) No additional traffic will be generated if this CUP is granted as this parking lot will accommodate Turkey Valley Farms employees currently parking on the streets.
- (4) Landscaping is to be compliant with the ordinance and is included in conditions.
- (5) The standard R-2 outside storage provisions will be applicable (outside storage is mostly prohibited in an R-2 district).
- (6) The standard R-2 accessory buildings provisions will be applicable, but no accessory buildings are planned.
- (7) Not applicable.
- (8) The parking lot will be designed to fit on the available lots.
- (9) The parking lot will be designed to meet all ordinance requirements.
- (10) The density of the area will not change.
- (11) Not applicable to Conditional Use Permits.
- (12) The lot is unremarkable and similar to adjacent lots.
- (13) Existing utility and public service facility will not be utilized for proposed use.
- (14) All standard maintenance provisions will apply.
- (15) The use should not be injurious to surrounding area and to the community because it will reduce street parking.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has evaluated all applicable considerations and finds and determines that granting a requested Conditional Use Permit with proposed conditions will not be injurious to the adjacent properties and that all standards for hearing are satisfied.

NOW THEREFORE, it is recommended by the Planning Commission to the Marshall City Council that the Conditional Use Permit for a parking lot in an R-2 one to four family residence district be approved as recommended by staff with the following conditions:

- (1) The only parking access shall be from South 6th Street;
- (2) Ten-foot landscaped setbacks are required on all four sides;
- (3) A buffer planting screen or fence shall separate parking from adjacent residential lots
- (4) At least four trees shall be planted;
- (5) Parking shall be paved and not used for commercial vehicles
- (6) A drawing/layout of parking development shall be presented to the City for approval.,

The motion offered by and seconded by , and declared carried on the following vote: Ayes:

Nays: Abstained: Passed: ____:___

Marshall Planning Commission

By: Cathy Lee Its: Chair







CITY OF MARSHALL AGENDA ITEM REPORT PC 8/14/24

Presenter:	Ilya Gutman
Meeting Date:	Wednesday, August 14, 2024
Category:	PUBLIC HEARING
Туре:	ACTION
Subject:	Consider the request of Paul and Joanne Stoneberg for a Conditional Use Permit for three multi-family apartment buildings in a B-3 General Business District at 1000 Clarice Avenue
Background Information:	 This is a request to have three apartment buildings a B-3 general business district. Apartment buildings are conditional use in B-3 district. General business district regulations are in Section 86-104. The Conditional Use Permit regulations are found in Section 86-46 and the Standards for Hearing are found in Section 86-49. Please see attached Finding of Facts for more detailed information.
Fiscal Impact:	None Known
Alternative/ Variations:	None recommended but additional reasonable conditions as proposed by the Planning Commission may be added.
Recommendations:	 Close public hearing. Recommend to City Council an approval of the request to grant a Conditional Use Permit for three apartment buildings in a B-3 general business district with the following condition: The buildings and site are constructed per attached drawings.

Marshall Planning Commission Report to City Council – Request for Conditional Use Permit 1000 Clarice Avenue, City of Marshall, Lyon County, Minnesota

WHEREAS, the office of the City of Marshall Zoning Administrator received an application for Conditional Use Permits dated July 23, 2024, for a multiple unit dwelling to be built on property located at 1000 Clarice Avenue,

WHEREAS, the applicant for the Conditional Use Permits was the property owner Paul and Joanne Stoneberg and a developer Steve Kuepers,

WHEREAS, a written request for a Conditional Use Permit is subject to the Minnesota 60-day rule as codified in Minnesota Statutes §15.99. The 60-day rule requires an approval or denial of a Conditional Use Permit within 60 days of the time Conditional Use Permit request is submitted. If no action occurs on the request for Conditional Use Permit within 60 days, it is deemed approved pursuant to Minnesota Statute;

WHEREAS, City staff representatives from the Community Planning Department reviewed the application for the Conditional Use Permit;

WHEREAS, Conditional Use Permits are granted only for those uses specifically listed as conditional uses for a particular zoning district;

WHEREAS, this property is zoned B-3 General Business District as defined in Ordinance Sec. 86-104 and multiple family dwellings is a conditional use in this district;

WHEREAS, a public hearing was scheduled for August 14, 2024, to consider the request for a Conditional Use Permit and notice of that hearing was published and was mailed pursuant to provisions of Ordinance Sec. 86-47 and further in compliance with Minnesota Statutes;

WHEREAS, the public hearing was held as scheduled and the Planning Commission considered the following standard criteria for Conditional Use Permit review as outlined in Ordinance Sec. 86-49:

- (1) Whether the proposed use is compatible with the existing neighborhood environment and use.
- (2) The adequacy of the access to roads and rights-of-way.
- (3) The additional traffic generated by facility.
- (4) The landscaping, fencing and/or screening plan.
- (5) The outside storage provisions.
- (6) The accessory buildings provisions.
- (7) The facility size.
- (8) The area of site.
- (9) The off-street parking facilities.
- (10) The density of the population and structures.
- (11) The duration of proposed interim use.
- (12) The natural features of the area.
- (13) The availability of existing utility and public service facility.
- (14) The future maintenance provisions.
- (15) Whether the proposed use will be injurious to the property or improvements in the area adjacent to such proposed use and the community as a whole.

WHEREAS, Staff offered the following information to the Planning Commission with a recommendation for the Planning Commission to recommend approval to the Council:

- (1) This is a commercial area so multiple story buildings and significant traffic will be compatible with current use.
- (2) The property will have access from two streets.
- (3) The additional traffic generated by this use will be safely handled by public streets.
- (4) The site will be landscaped in compliance with the landscaping ordinance.
- (5) The standard B-3 outside storage provisions will be applicable, which generally prohibit any outside storage.
- (6) The standard B-3 accessory buildings provisions will be applicable.
- (7) This building size is adequate for proposed use.
- (8) The site area is adequate for proposed development.
- (9) The ordinance parking requirements will be met.
- (10) The density of the area will not change in any significant way.
- (11) Not applicable to Conditional Use Permits.
- (12) The lot is unremarkable and similar to adjacent lots.
- (13) Existing utility and public service facility are adequate for proposed use.
- (14) All standard maintenance provisions will apply.
- (15) The proposed use will not be injurious to surrounding area (see item 1) and will be helpful to the community.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has evaluated all applicable considerations and finds and determines that granting a requested Conditional Use Permit will not be injurious to the adjacent properties and that all standards for hearing are satisfied.

NOW THEREFORE, it is recommended by the Planning Commission to the Marshall City Council that the Conditional Use Permit for building apartments in a B-3 General Business District be approved as recommended by staff. The motion offered by and seconded by , and declared carried on the following vote:

Ayes: Nays: Abstained: Passed: ____:____

Marshall Planning Commission

By: Cathy Lee Its: Chair







	REQUIRED			PROPO	SED		
		LOT	ſ1	LO	T 2	LOT 3	
LOT AREA		3.93 AC	171,191	2.11 AC	91,912	1.89AC	82,328
IMPERVIOUS SURFACE		SF		S	F	S	F
APARTMENT		19,6	512	19,612		19,	612
GARAGE		5,4	00	5,4	00	5,4	100
PATIO AND PADS		1,3	09	1,3	809	1,3	309
PLAY AREA		2,8	00				
SIDEWALKS		3,3	52	2,292		2,532	
PAVEMENT		43,3	97	29621		22712	
BUILDING COVERAGE %		15	%	27%		30%	
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS		75,8	370	58,	234	51,	565
% IMPERVIOUS		44	%	63	%	63	%
APARTMENT UNITS		36	ô	3	6	3	6
PROPOSED DENSITY		9.2 UNI	TS/AC	17.1 UN	IITS/AC	19.0 UN	IITS/AC
PARKING - PROPOSED							
GARAGE STALLS		20)	20		20	
SURFACE PARKING		72	2	5	6	4	2
TOTAL PARKING		92	2	7	6	6	2
PARKING REQUIRED		72	2	7	2	7	2

BOUNDARY AND EXISTING CONDITION INFORMATION PER SURVEY PREPARED BY BUELTEL-MOSENG LAND SURVEYING, INC

ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS FOR BUILDING DIMENSIONS AND LOCATIONS OF EXITS, RAMPS, CONCRETE APRONS AND STOOPS.

ALL PAVEMENT STRIPING SHALL BE 4" WIDE LINES, STRIPED USING HIGH VISIBILITY TRAFFIC AND HIGHWAY APPROVED WHITE PAINT. PROVIDE APPROPRIATE STRIPING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS FOR ALL HANDICAP PARKING AND ACCESS AISLES.

DIMENSIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER SCALE. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BACK OF CURB,

EDGE OF SIDEWALK OR EXTERIOR BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 6. UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THE PLAN, CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE CONTROL JOINTS, CONSTRUCTION JOINTS, AND EXPANSION JOINTS IN SLAB ON GRADE, SIDEWALKS AND

CONCRETE DRIVES. CONTROL JOINT MAXIMUM SPACING: WALKS - 8' O.C., ALL OTHERS -10' O.C. SAW CUT CONTROL JOINTS MINIMUM ONE-QUARTER CONCRETE THICKNESS.

EXPANSION JOINT MAXIMUM SPACING: WALKS - 24' O.C., ALL OTHERS - 40' O.C. DOWEL ALL EXPANSION JOINTS - MAXIMUM 24" O.C.

7. PEDESTRIAN RAMPS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT LOCATIONS WHERE SIDEWALK MEETS CURB AS SHOWN ON PLAN.

8. WHEN DOING ANY WORK WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE THE REMOVAL LIMITS WITH THE CITY.

9. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THAT ALL SIDEWALKS AND ACCESS ROUTES MEET ADA AND MNDOT GUIDELINES FOR ACCESSIBILITY 10. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY CONDUIT REQUIREMENTS FOR POWER AND IRRIGATION.

11. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATING WITH THE FIRE MARSHAL THE

LOCATION OF FIRE LANE SIGNAGE AND CURB MARKINGS (IF NEEDED). 12. ALL NEW UTILITIES SHALL BE UNDERGROUND. COORDINATE LOCATIONS WITH UTILITY PROVIDERS.

13. SIDEWALKS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH A MAXIMUM CROSS SLOPE OF 2%. ANY SIDEWALK EXCEEDING 2% CROSS SLOPE MUST BE REMOVED AND REPLACED AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE

14. ALL STREET PATCHING SHALL MATCH EXISTING SECTION.

15. ALL SIDEWALK DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPLACED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE

16. SAW CUT ALL CONCRETE CURB, CONCRETE WALK AND BITUMINOUS AT REMOVAL LIMITS.

PRIOR TO STARTING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THAT ALL PERMITS AND APPROVALS HAVE BEEN OBTAINED. NO CONSTRUCTION SHALL BEGIN UNTIL THE CONTRACTOR HAS REVIEWED ALL PLANS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS APPROVED BY THE PERMITTING AUTHORITIES.

2. WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND THE REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNING AUTHORITY. THE SOILS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION SET FORTH THERIN ARE A PART OF THE REQUIRED CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS AND IN CASE OF CONFLICT SHALL TAKE PRECEDENCE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE PLANS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCY BETWEEN THE SOILS REPORT AND PLANS.

> BENCHMARK: 1. TOP OF PROPERTY PIN SW CORNER ELEV=1155.77 NAVD 88

SHEET NO.

2. TNH WEST SIDE OF CLARICE 370' SOUTH OF SUSAN DRIVE ELEV=1158.94 NAVD 88

SITE PLAN

4 OF 13 SHEETS

GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET

0772272024

ROJECT NO: Page 14



 $\langle \! \rangle$

 $\langle \! \rangle$

(

 $\langle \! \rangle$

l

C

18

 \bigotimes

 \bigotimes

•••

 \bigotimes

00

6

 \otimes

 \bigotimes

 \bigotimes

 \bigotimes

 \bigotimes

 \bigotimes

 \otimes

 $\langle \! \rangle$

_.

APARTME

000

 \otimes

9

w

 \odot

 \bigcirc

98 C.

 \otimes

 \otimes

=

 \bigcirc

8

Ø

 \bigcirc

 \bigotimes

 \bigotimes

339994 721999

Item 3.

⊕∎

00

	NOTE AL PECKSYANOS TO HAVE A WALL MONTED ENTERIAR LIGHT THAT IS MANULTY CARTRALED BY THE TENANT. PATRIOT LIGHTING - SONOMA IQUEITINPT OR EQUA.		 Allow BAZE WHLE - ACER X FREEMANN = 49 TREES PRAREE LOWNG RAD - MALUS 'PRARENE' = 6 b 2" CALPER = 6 TREES METEN HORMOUST - GLEDITSIA TRIACANTHOS NERMIS 'MPCOLE' = 0 TREES 	= bAAK HLLS P & B & F = 92 TREE = 29 TREE = 0 & B & F = 0 & B & F = 0 RTREE = 0 RTREE = 0 RTREE = 1 TREE = 1 TREE	; LEGEND - SITE TREES:	 LANU-JCLATE, INCLI, INCLI, E.S., RETENTIAN MASN AREAS STALL PE SERVEY. LANDSCAPE AREAS TO RECEIVE SCP. RETAILED AT ALL SCO & BED AREAS. SCO STALL PE CALINERY KEINKYY BLEGALES LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR WILL SWOTH THE CH INSTRUCTIVE BLEGALES LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR WILL SWOTH THE CH INSTRUCTIVE BLEGALES LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR WILL SWOTH THEME AND ALL SUME RANCE. ALL STRUE DEDS TO RECEIVE LANDSCAPE ROCK WITH WEED DARRER FAMIL FALL AREAS INTERE SCO AND ROOK TOLKH SHALL HAE SO MARECUL GRAVE BLACK FALL AREAS INTERE SCO AND ROOK TOLKH SHALL HAE COMMERCIAL GRAVE BLACK ALL AREAS INTERE SCO AND ROOK TOLKH SHALL HAE COMMERCIAL GRAVE BLACK ALL AREAS INTERE SCO AND ROOK TOLKH SHALL HAE STAKED. ALL AREAS INTERE STEALES SHALL BE STAKED. LOCATE ALL UNTLIFES BY ACTIVE LOCATION IN THE FIELD FROM TO PLANTING TOLCARE ALL UNTLIFES BY ACTIVE LOCATION IN THE FIELD FROM TO PLANTING 			
MG. *	_	MARSHAL APARTMEN Marshall, Mn copyright kueper	ГЭ	l hereby cer specificatic me or under that I am a c the laws of Name: Signed: Pate:	on, or re my dire duly Lic the Sta	at this plan, eport was prepared by ect supervision and ensed Architect under ite of Minnesota. 		REVISIONS DATE	-



7/15/2024 2:05:51 PM



/15/2024 2:05:55 PN









 $\overline{\alpha}$









7/15/2024 2:04:24 PM



7/15/2024 2:04:26 PN



7/15/2024 2:04:28 PN



7/15/2024 2:04:30 PN



7/15/2024 2:04:32 PM









2 Garage 2 Floor Plan 1/8" = 1'-0"

DOOR ABBREVIATIONS:

COMMENTS:

R.H.	= RIGHT HAND	1. PANIC HARDWARE
L.H.	= LEFT HAND	2. KEYED LOCKSET
O.H.	= OVERHEAD	3. PASSAGE LOCKSET
DBL.	= DOUBLE DOOR	4. PRIVACY LOCKSET
BI-P.	= BI-PASS DOOR	5. SELF CLOSING HINGES
H.M.	= HOLLOW METAL	6. ARM DOOR CLOSER
MTL.	= METAL	7. KICK PLATE - PUSH SIDE
STL.	= STEEL	8. BUZZER ENTRY
INSUL.	= INSULATED	9. SMOKE RESISTANT SEALS
F.G.	= FIBERGLASS	10. WOOD CASING
ALUM.	= ALUMINUM	11. ELECTROMAGNETIC DOOR HOLDER
		12. PEEP HOLE

- 12. PEEP HOLE 13. LOCKABLE GARAGE DOOR HANDLE 14. BAR PULL 15. AUTOMATIC GARAGE DOOR OPENER 16. DEADBOLT LOCK

GENERAL NOTES:

						Doc	or Sched	ule - Gara	ges				
Mark	Count	Location	Width	Height	Door Type	Fire Rating	Finish	Frame Material	Rough Width	Rough Height	Hardware Group	Swing	Comments
502	10	GARAGE	9' - 0"	8' - 0"	D-11	-	MTL.	WD.	0' - 0"	0' - 0"		O.H.	13
600	1	GARAGE	3' - 0"	6' - 8"	D-1		MTL.	WD.	3' - 2"	6' - 10"		L.H.	3, 16
601	1	GARAGE	9' - 0"	8' - 3"	D-11	-	MTL.	WD.	0' - 0"	0' - 0"		O.H.	13, 15
602	9	GARAGE	9' - 0"	8' - 0"	D-11	-	MTL.	WD.	0' - 0"	0' - 0"		O.H.	13

1. P.M. TO VERIFY ALL ROUGH OPENINGS 2. P.M. TO VERIFY ALL JAMB DEPTHS 3. FLASHING TO BE PLACED OVER ALL EXTERIOR DOORS

PREL	MINA	PRELIMINARY NFC			
Scale	Drawn	Project		prepared duly Lice Name: 0 Signed:	1
Gara	x	number		certify that d by me or innsed Arch christopher	
	x-xx-xx RE	uep Buil		this plan, under my	
	ΞT	din	AKCHILECIS & BUILDERS	direct s r the la	
10 ^{Dor}	Checke		BRAINERD/BAXTER, MN 218-829-0707	cation, o upervisi	
	d by			on and	
age 28	09-01-2023 CJR		Stone Meadow Apartments - Building A	that I am a of Minnesota.	
					_

024 2:04:38 PM



CITY OF MARSHALL AGENDA ITEM REPORT PC 8/14/24

Presenter:	Ilya Gutman
Meeting Date:	Wednesday, August 14, 2024
Category:	PUBLIC HEARING
Туре:	ACTION
Subject:	Consider the request of Independent Lumber for a Conditional Use Permit for a Lumberyard in a B-3 General Business District
Background Information:	 This is a request to permit a lumberyard in a B-3 general business district. Lumberyards are conditional use in B-3 districts. This existing lumberyard was built before the area was annexed into the city limits. When it was annexed, it was grandfathered in and became a legal non-conforming use, as the ordinance requires. However, this provision does not allow expansion of the use, and the owner wants to build more buildings. Granting a CUP will allow for further development and will remove potential limitations. Menards is considered a lumberyard and was granted a CUP for such use. Generally, new construction in a B-3 district would require multiple site improvements, such as paved parking and landscaping; however, this is not a new construction, which makes this situation different. General business district regulations are in Section 86-104. The Conditional Use Permit regulations are found in Section 86-46 and the Standards for Hearing are found in Section 86-49. Please see attached Finding of Facts for more detailed information.
Fiscal Impact:	None known
Alternative/ Variations:	None recommended but additional reasonable conditions intended to mitigate the impact of granting a conditional use permit as proposed by the Planning Commission may be added.
Recommendations:	 Close public hearing. Recommend to City Council an approval of the request to grant a Conditional Use Permit for a lumberyard in a B-3 general business district.

Marshall Planning Commission Report to City Council – Request for Conditional Use Permit 504 and 508 Baseline Road, City of Marshall, Lyon County, Minnesota

WHEREAS, the office of the City of Marshall Zoning Administrator received an application for a Conditional Use Permit dated July 8, 2024, for a lumberyard on property located at 504 and 508 Baseline Road,

WHEREAS, the applicant for the Conditional Use Permit was the property owner Independent Lumber of Marshall,

WHEREAS, a written request for a Conditional Use Permit is subject to the Minnesota 60-day rule as codified in Minnesota Statutes §15.99. The 60-day rule requires an approval or denial of a Conditional Use Permit within 60 days of the time Conditional Use Permit request is submitted. If no action occurs on the request for Conditional Use Permit within 60 days, it is deemed approved pursuant to Minnesota Statute;

WHEREAS, City staff representatives from the Community Planning Department reviewed the application for the Conditional Use Permit;

WHEREAS, Conditional Use Permits are granted only for those uses specifically listed as conditional uses for a particular zoning district;

WHEREAS, this property is zoned B-3 General business district as defined in Ordinance Sec. 86-104 and lumberyards are a conditional use in this district;

WHEREAS, a public hearing was scheduled for August 14, 2024, to consider the request for a Conditional Use Permit and notice of that hearing was published and was mailed pursuant to provisions of Ordinance Sec. 86-47 and further in compliance with Minnesota Statutes;

WHEREAS, the public hearing was held as scheduled and the Planning Commission considered the following standard criteria for Conditional Use Permit review as outlined in Ordinance Sec. 86-49:

- (1) Whether the proposed use is compatible with the existing neighborhood environment and use.
- (2) The adequacy of the access to roads and rights-of-way.
- (3) The additional traffic generated by facility.
- (4) The landscaping, fencing and/or screening plan.
- (5) The outside storage provisions.
- (6) The accessory buildings provisions.
- (7) The facility size.
- (8) The area of site.
- (9) The off-street parking facilities.
- (10) The density of the population and structures.
- (11) The duration of proposed interim use.
- (12) The natural features of the area.
- (13) The availability of existing utility and public service facility.
- (14) The future maintenance provisions.
- (15) Whether the proposed use will be injurious to the property or improvements in the area adjacent to such proposed use and the community as a whole.

WHEREAS, Staff offered the following information to the Planning Commission with a recommendation for the Planning Commission to recommend approval to the Council:

- (1) This is a commercial area with Menards lumber yard nearby.
- (2) The property has adequate access from Baseline Road.
- (3) No additional traffic will be generated if this CUP is granted.
- (4) The site has no landscaping currently.
- (5) The standard B-3 Outside Storage provisions will be applicable.
- (6) The standard B-3 Accessory Buildings provisions will be applicable.
- (7) Not applicable.
- (8) The site area is adequate for this use.
- (9) Site has ample parking area.
- (10) The density of the area will not change.
- (11) Not applicable to Conditional Use Permit.
- (12) The lot is unremarkable and similar to adjacent lots.
- (13) Existing utility and public service facility are adequate for current use.
- (14) All standard maintenance provisions will apply.
- (15) The use has been in existence for a long time and will not be injurious to surrounding area and to the community.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has evaluated all applicable considerations and finds and determines that granting a requested Conditional Use Permit will not be injurious to the adjacent properties and that all standards for hearing are satisfied.

NOW THEREFORE, it is recommended by the Planning Commission to the Marshall City Council that the Conditional Use Permit for a lumberyard in a B-3 General Business District be approved as recommended by staff. The motion offered by and seconded by , and declared carried on the following vote: Ayes:

Nays: Abstained: Passed: ____:___

Marshall Planning Commission

By: Cathy Lee Its: Chair

