

HIDEOUT, UTAH PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING July 18, 2024 Agenda

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning Commission of Hideout, Utah will hold its Regular Meeting electronically and in-person at Hideout Town Hall, located at 10860 N. Hideout Trail, Hideout Utah, for the purposes and at the times as described below on Thursday, July 18, 2024.

> All public meetings are available via ZOOM conference call and YouTube Live. Interested parties may join by dialing in as follows:

Zoom Meeting URL:https://zoom.us/j/4356594739To join by telephone dial:https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCKdWnJad-WwvcAK75QjRb1w/YouTube Live Channel:https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCKdWnJad-WwvcAK75QjRb1w/

Regular Meeting 6:00 PM

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of Meeting Minutes
 - 1. June 18, 2024 Hideout Planning Commission Meeting Minutes DRAFT
- IV. Administrative Items
 - 1. <u>Presentation and discussion of a concept plan on parcels 00-0020-8182 and 00-0020-8184 ("the Salzman Property")</u>
 - 2. Presentation and discussion of Hideout's General Plan (*discussion only no action will be* taken)
- V. Meeting Adjournment

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations during the meeting should notify the Mayor or Town Clerk at 435-659-4739 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

File Attachments for Item:

1. June 18, 2024 Hideout Planning Commission Meeting Minutes DRAFT

1		Minutes
2	To	wn of Hideout Planning Commission
3		Regular Meeting (Rescheduled)
4		June 18, 2024
5		6:00 PM
6		0.001111
7		
8	The Planning Commission of I	Hideout, Wasatch County, Utah met in Regular Meeting on June 18, 2024
9 10	at 6:00	PM in person and electronically via Zoom meeting.
11	Regular Meeting	
12 13	I. <u>Call to Order</u>	
14 15		called the meeting to order at 6:01 PM and reminded participants that this d both electronically and in-person.
16		
17	II. <u>Roll Call</u>	
18	Present:	Chair Tony Matyszczyk
19		Commissioner Joel Pieper
20		Commissioner Glynnis Tihansky
21		Commissioner Donna Turner
22	Attending Remotely:	Commissioner Pachal Cooper
23 24	Attending Remotely:	Commissioner Rachel Cooper Commissioner Chase Winder (alternate, joined at 6:07 PM)
25		commissioner chase winder (anemate, jonied at 0.07 1 W)
26	Excused:	Commissioner Peter Ginsberg (alternate)
27		
28	Staff Present:	Alicia Fairbourne, Recorder for Hideout
29		Kathleen Hopkins, Deputy Recorder for Hideout
30 31	Staff Attending Remotely:	Polly McLean, Town Attorney
32	Stan Attending Kemotely.	Thomas Eddington, Town Planner
33		Thomas Eddington, Town Flamer
34	Public Attending Por	otely: Tim Schoen, Diane Schoen, Richard Otto, Jerry Crylen, Jeff
35 35	<u> </u>	ot have signed in using proper names in Zoom.
	someon and others who may he	thate signed in using proper names in 200m.
36 37	III. Approval of Meeting Mi	nutes
38	1. <u>May 16, 2024 Plannin</u>	g Commission Minutes DRAFT
39	There were no comments of	on the May 16, 2024 draft minutes.
40		Fihansky moved to approve the May 16, 2024 Planning Commission
41		Pieper made the second. Voting Yes: Commissioner Cooper, Chair
42		er Pieper, Commissioner Tihansky and Commissioner Turner. Voting
43 44	No: None. Absent from carried.	Voting: Commissioner Ginsberg, Commissioner Winder. The motion
44	carriea.	

1 IV. Agenda Items

Discussion of an updated concept plan for a potential development Hideout Pointe/Wildhorse (Parcel 20-8164)

Town Planner Thomas Eddington provided an overview of this item and reminded the Planning
Commissioners the original concept plan for this project had originally been presented a year ago.
The property under discussion was located between the Woolf property and Klaim subdivision on
the eastern side of SR-248. The updated concept plan was focused on approximately two acres of the
Applicant's 15.19-acre parcel and would include a brew pub and restaurant as well as other
commercial space. The Applicant would come back at a future date with a separate application
regarding the remaining 13-acres which might be proposed for residential development.

- Mr. Eddington noted the proposed entrance and exit road location was still under review with the
 Fire District. In response to a question from Commissioner Joel Pieper, Mr. Eddington replied a
 second road accessing SR-248 was not required and would be too close to the existing entrance to
 Klaim.
- Mr. Eddington discussed the Staff Report, which was included in the meeting materials, and noted
 several items which needed to be worked out in more detail. He noted the Planning Commissioners
 were not being asked for a formal vote on this concept plan at this time, but rather to provide
 feedback to the Applicant.
- The Applicants Tim and Diane Schoen, and architects Rick Otto and Jeff Johnson were introduced.
 Mr. Johnson reviewed the proposed plans and answered questions from the Planning
 Commissioners. The proposed plan would include upgrading the existing driveway to the Woolf
 property to a street which would meet Town standards and reconfiguring the intersection of the
 Woolf driveway (Gray Woolf Road) and Miner Way to a 90-degree intersection. Mr. Schoen noted
- Woolf driveway (Gray Woolf Road) and Miner Way to a 90-degree intersection. Mr. Sci
 his team had met with the owners of the Woolf property.
- Commissioner Rachel Cooper asked if a traffic light would be necessary at the SR-248 entrance. Mr.
 Eddington replied that would be the decision of UDOT. He then reminded the Planning
 Commissioners that a flashing light would likely be installed at the future fire station exit on SR-248
 (just north of the Woolf property).
- The Planning Commissioners were polled for their feedback, and no objections were made to the
 proposed plan. Mr. Schoen introduced Mr. Jerry Crylen who was working as a development advisor
 on the project. In response to a question from Chair Matyszczyk, Mr. Schoen stated he would hope
 to begin construction within the next 18 months.
- Commissioner Glynnis Tihansky asked what the plans were for the future residential phase. Mr.
 Crylen responded the team was working with a civil engineer to create ten one-acre lots for a gated
- 35 community above the commercial development.
- 36 Commissioner Pieper asked if trails would be included in the plans; Mr. Schoen replied yes.
- There being no further questions from the Planning Commissioners, the Applicants and their
 representatives were excused and left the meeting at 6:46 PM.
- 39
- 40
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43

1 V. <u>Meeting Adjournment</u>

Commissioner Tihansky asked whether there was any follow up from last meeting regarding an
 update to the Town's General Plan. Mr. Eddington responded that would be included in an future
 meeting. Chair Matyszczyk suggested a future review of the Annexation Agreement for the
 Richardson Flats project would be helpful to provide background for the new members of the
 Planning Commission.

7

8

There being no further business, Chair Matyszczyk asked for a motion to adjourn.

Motion: Commissioner Tihansky moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Pieper made the
 second. Voting Yes: Commissioner Cooper, Chair Matyszczyk, Commissioner Pieper,
 Commissioner Tihansky, Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Winder. Voting No: None.
 Absent from Voting: Commissioner Ginsberg. The motion carried.

13
14 The meeting adjourned at 6:49 PM.
15
16
17
18
19
20
Kathleen Hopkins
Deputy Recorder for Hideout

File Attachments for Item:

1. Presentation and discussion of a concept plan on parcels 00-0020-8182 and 00-0020-8184 ("the Salzman Property")



Staff Report for Elk Horn Springs – Concept Plan Review

То:	Chairman Tony Matyszczyk Town of Hideout Planning Commission
From:	Thomas Eddington Jr., AICP, ASLA Town Planner
Re:	The Elk Horn Springs Concept Plan for the Salzman Property
Date:	Prepared for the July 18, 2024 Planning Commission Meeting

Submittals: Concept Plan Application and Concept Master Plan, both dated July 2024

Introduction and Background

The Salzman property is familiar to the Planning Commission since both The Boulders and The Bloom developers submitted a Concept Plan application in 2022, and 2023 respectively. Both of those applications were ultimately withdrawn by the Applicants and a final vote was never made by the Planning Commission.

The current proposal is for the same property, excluding the +/-40 acres that the existing house and pond sit upon. This Concept Plan proposes significantly less density than the prior two proposals – generally a mixed-use development that is primarily made up of a mix of single-family homes, townhomes, and some commercial space.

Site Characteristics

Total Acres of Site: +/-72 Acres

Current Zoning: Mountain (M)



Allowed Density:	One (1) unit per acre or approximately 60 - 65 units after road infrastructure is built and steep slopes preserved
Concept Density:	+/-164 units primarily concentrated on the +/-72 acres that make up the eastern part of the site. 15,000 – 20,000 SF of neighborhood commercial space is also proposed. The units are generally designated as the following use or housing types:
	Neighborhood Commercial: 15,000 - 20,000 SF
	• Townhomes: 61 units
	Single-Family Lots: 54 lots
	Cabin Single-Family Units: 11 lots
	Mountain Estate Single-Family Units: 38 lots

General Uses: The Applicants are proposing a development concept that includes a variety of residential building typologies and neighborhood commercial uses.

Site Location (proposed site in red outline - +/-72 acres)



Town of Hideout Planning Map



Planning map for the Town Of Hideout

0.6km

т

Source: Esri, Maxar, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community | Utah AGRC



The Elk Horn Springs Concept Plan (July 2024)



Planning Issues & Concerns for Discussion

Density: The proposed density is +/-200% of what is currently allowed per the Mountain (M) residential zoning designation. Where +/60 - 65 single-family units are permitted on one-acre lots, the Applicants propose +/-164 units. The proposed Concept Plan has clustered all development on the eastern portion of the site. The prior two Concept Plans (in 2022 and 2023) for this site proposed a density that was a 500%+, and 300%+ increase beyond existing allowances.

This project requires a rezoning application which lends itself to a thorough discussion of the benefits and impacts associated with a conceptual up-zoning. A re-zone is a



legislative decision by the Town which gives the Town discretion to grant it or not based on benefits and impacts to the community.

Uses: The proposed commercial area will provide the local/neighborhood commercial opportunities that are currently missing in the community and frequently requested by residents. The Applicant has not indicated the types of commercial tenants that would likely occupy these spaces, but special consideration should be given to uses such as a coffee shop, restaurants, a fitness/wellness studio, etc. neighborhood commercial amenities. A Master Development Agreement (MDA) will be necessary should this project proceed to a rezoning application. Staff recommends limiting all first floor uses to uses such as a coffee shop, restaurants, a fitness/wellness studio, etc. to prevent real estate offices and similar in those spaces, thus eliminating the neighborhood character/needs.

In addition, the Applicant and Planning Commission should consider increasing the amount of square feet for commercial space – perhaps up to 20,000 or 25,000 SF.

The Applicant proposes a community center with a pool and three indoor pickleball courts. The Applicant has discussed increasing the number of courts. While all residents of the Elk Horn Springs community will have access to this facility, the Developer proposes to offer residents of Hideout an option to purchase an annual pass.

The Applicants should be prepared to discuss these uses in more detail.

Layout/Design: The following are some ideas/revisions for consideration that staff has briefly discussed with the Applicants:

- The amphitheater could be changed to a green gathering, or park area, for the community. An amphitheater is currently proposed for Phase 4 of the Deer Springs development and Phase 4 or Phase 9 of Shoreline development. A more universal gathering space will likely prove more useful to the residents.
- The neighborhood commercial development could flank this park thus activating the space and drawing more residents and visitors alike to the unique setting.
- The parking for the Townhouse units on the main entry street is proposed to be under the units, allowing parking spaces along the street to be used for the commercial space.
- There may be an opportunity for workforce housing units above the proposed commercial space.



	• The existing emergency access road from SR 248 to Golden Eagle bifurcates this property and the Applicant is proposing to generally use this as the main spine road for the development – in effect upgrading the road from its current road base conditions. There are some areas where the existing road is proposed to be moved outside of the easement that exists on the plat. The Applicant will need to coordinate this with Mustang Development (the entity who negotiated the easement) and the Town.
Parks:	The parks, trails and open spaces, as proposed, are open to all residents of Hideout as proposed.
	The Applicant proposes to donate approximately 15 acres, situated in the southeast corner of the property, to the Town of Hideout for use as a regional park or similar.
Access:	There is only one ingress/egress proposed from SR 248 – approximately along the existing emergency access road leading up to Golden Eagle. A road, or stub, will also be required on the eastern property line to provide a connection to possible future development.
Open	
Space/Buffers:	The proposed development has clustered areas with open space buffers providing park/trails proposed. Additional detail should be provided: type of trails, surface treatment, etc.
Sensitive Lands	s: Per the Town's updated Zoning Code, areas with greater than 30% slopes Slopes, must be preserved – development activity including buildings and roads and vegetation: must be moved to areas with less than 30% slopes. Major drainage ways - valleys and ravines - must also be protected from development to the greatest extent possible. If this project moves forward, a grading plan with cut and fill clearly indicated will be required for review.
Connection	
to Town:	The Town is disconnected as a result of SR 248 – it creates a very real and dangerous barrier for pedestrian and bike connectivity in particular. A connection to the south side of SR248 has been a goal of the Town
	Planning Commission and Town Council since the completion of the Parks, Open Space and Trails (POST) Plan in 2019. A partnership approach to address this disconnect should



be pursued as part of any project on this site -whether an at-grade crossing with a light or the more costly options: an underground tunnel or bridge.

With the ongoing development of Deer Springs on the north side of Town and this proposed development, the developer should consider coordinating with the Town to think about new "Welcome to Hideout" signage along SR248.

- Infrastructure: Issues regarding water rights and availability must be addressed. Additionally, it is assumed all road infrastructure will be financed by the developer. Will the roads, upon completion, be maintained by an HOA? A phasing plan should ultimately be presented. Is a traffic signal proposed at the entry road and SR248?
- Zoning: As the Applicant and the Planning Commission review the Concept Plan and additional detail is ultimately provided, staff will assess how/whether the proposed Concept Plan will meet Town Code and determine if any variances are necessary.

Next Steps

The Planning Commission should review the Proposed Concept plan and provide input to the Applicant. A Concept Plan application is an opportunity for the Planning Commission to provide input and weigh the anticipated benefits and impacts associated with the proposed development. The Planning Commission should be prepared to provided direction to the Applicant. How can the project help the Town ensure amenities are located in the heart of the town or increase the tax base (beyond the minimal property taxes the Town collects from residential development) from the last large piece of undeveloped (and unentitled) property? Any up-zoning must be consistent with the principles articulated in the General Plan. The Planning Commission should relay all concerns, general input and ideas so the Applicant has solid direction. If the Planning Commission is generally supportive of the Concept Plan, all input can be provided to the Applicant so they may proceed to the next step in the process – rezoning application. After that, a preliminary, then final, subdivision would be the next steps.

File Attachments for Item:

2. Presentation and discussion of Hideout's General Plan (*discussion only - no action will be taken*)

General Plan for Hideout

Planning Commission July 18, 2024

Hideout General Plan

- Completed in 2019 by the BYU Planning Students
 - Some demographic information outdated and should be updated
- Included public input by residents in place at ٠ the time
 - Updated community input and survey should be incorporated
- Includes the foundational components recommended by State Statute •
- At 181 pages, a bit long
 - Recommend the Planning Commission and Town Planner create an Executive Summary

Hideout

General Plan of 2019

Hideout General Plan





17. What do you like about Hideout?

18. What would you like to see stay the same in Hideout?

Appendix C

Hideout General Plan

no commercial transportation walking/biking developer es light pollution condos no parks Jasatch School District snow rem n too muc evelopment no recycle services maintain golf course no gas station not community

stoplight sidewalks transparency night-minimum HOAfire station growth is controlled small areas of grass allowed traffic main entrance construction density slo e ent . 0 ervices mor recreati O n rer zoning codes transportation golf course funding snow-removal

19. What do you dislike about Hideout?

20. What would you like to see changed in Hideout?

Appendix C

Existing Vision

2.1 Vision Statement

Hideout, Utah is a community that treasures both its residents and its environment. As such, Hideout's vision is to:

- Does this vision statement still resonate?
- Should include the community's core values
- Planning Principles are needed

preserve outstanding views

2.1.1 To Preserve Outstanding Views,

All development will be intentionally designed around enhancing and accentuating the existing environment, recreational open space, and livability of the community. cultivate an inviting neighborhood atmosphere

2.1.2 To Cultivate an Inviting Neighborhood Atmosphere,

The intensity of land use will be managed to promote the design standards and environmental ideals laid out in the General Plan and other town documents.

build a connected community

2.1.3 To Build a Connected Community,

Public gathering spaces and appropriate commercial growth will be accessible by a variety of transportation options.

 Incorporate updated community input

• Additional Surveys?

Q3 Commercial Development and a Town Center. The majority of land within the Town is zoned and subdivided for new residential development. The current General Plan (2019) recommends the Town pursue commercial development and/or create a Town Center for the community. As a resident of Hideout in 2022, do you think the Town should prioritize future development efforts for commercial or residential development?

Answered: 323 Skipped: 10



- Incorporate updated community input
- Additional Surveys?

Q8 Town Needs. Please rank your priorities for things you believe the Town most needs from highest #1 to lowest #7:



Incorporate updated community input

• Additional Surveys?

Q9 Trail Connections. How important is it to develop some type of connections between the existing walking/hiking/biking trails of Wasatch and Summit Counties within the Town?

Answered: 333 Skipped: 0



- Incorporate updated community input
- Additional Surveys?

Q10 Bond Issue. Would you support the town issuing a bond of approximately \$10 million to purchase property within the town used for public amenities such as a public park or central area to gather? Repayment of such a bond would likely increase the average full-time resident's property tax by approximately \$950 per year for a home with a taxable value of \$1,000,000 (the tax would be higher for homes with a higher taxable value).



Regional Collaboration

- A chapter outlining regional collaboration should be included in the document
- Specifically important relative to future annexations
- Wasatch and Summit counties, Park City, Heber City, Tuhaye, West Acres (pending incorporation), Kamas, etc.



GP Requires a Future Land Use Map

- The GP does not currently include a Future Land Use Map (FLUM) to guide future zoning map changes
- Include recommendations for neighborhood commercial and commercial development



Land Use Goals

 The Planning Commission should confirm the existing land use goals and direction

3.4.1 Hideout's land use goals are to:

 Preserve the viewsheds, green space, and unique topography by updating and enforcing a zoning code that reflects Hideout's Community Vision.

2. Maintain the unique character of Hideout by managing intensity of land use and promoting a mix of residential and commercial uses appropriate for the community.

The Ross Creek Neighborhood Mixed Use Center



Public Input from 2019

- Public Input was received by way of open houses
- Important to tie recreation into the Ross Creek Neighborhood Mixed Use Center
- Coordinate with the State Park



16b. If yes, what services would you be interested in seeing?



Economic Development Goals

 The Planning Commission should confirm the existing economic development goals and direction

5.4.1 Hideout's economic development goals are to:

 Increase the livability of Hideout by encouraging appropriate commercial uses to serve resident needs.

 Coordinate with local developers to enhance public gathering spaces and community connectivity.

 Encourage commercial uses that are financially beneficial to the Town to improve resident quality of life and generate revenue to expand and maintain public infrastructure.

Parks, Open Space, Trails (POST)

 Revisit the POST chapter in the General Plan – possible updates and recommendations

A Definitive Path Toward Implementation

7 POST PRIORITIES for the Town of Hideout

PRIORITY 3

...

Park

PRIORITY 5

Establish a

Connection to

Jordanelle State

PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Ensure Developer **Compliance With** Previously Approved Subdivisions

Finalize Bike & **Pedestrian Trails** (Deer Springs and Rustler Plat)

PRIORITY 6

Connect the

and Parks

'Last Mile' for All

Constructed Trails

Counties and Nearby Communities to Build the Spine on SR 248 - Coordinate Efforts with UDOT

Collaborate With the

PRIORITY 7

Use Conservation Easements as a Partnership Tool to Protect the Land Under Power Lines for Parks/Trails and Explore Similar **Opportunities on the Golf Course**

Park Near the Town **Center Roundabout** and Tie Into the Trail in **Dead Man's Gulch That Connects to Jordanelle** State Park

....

PRIORITY 4

Purchase Land for a

Planning Commission – Additional Issues to Address

- Density and the ongoing request for upzoning
- Predictability for both developer and Town
 Commission / Council

•

Next Steps

- Separate updated Executive Summary which will include:
 - Updated Demographic Data
 - Updated Community Input
 - Finesse the Vision Statement
 - Updated Land Use Element and Map
 - Small Area Plans for targeted commercial
 - Update POST next step connections

