# CITY OF CUMBERLAND ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING AGENDA 

October 21, 2020-4:00 PM
Virtual Zoom Meeting

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Chairman's Comments
4. Adoption of Minutes from the December 4, 2019 meeting

## Public Hearings:

5. ZA 20-02 - Knox Street - Conditional Use - Setback Modification

Applicant

## Discussion Items:

6. ZA 20-02 - Knox Street - Conditional Use - Setback Modification

BOA members

## City Planner's Report:

Administrative Approval of ZA 20-01-100 W. Reynolds Street - Setback Variance
6. Adjourn

## NOTE:

If the scheduled Board of Appeals meeting is cancelled due to inclement weather, acts of nature, or the lack of a quorum, any items on the agenda that cannot be conducted will be rescheduled for Board's next regular meeting.

File Attachments for Item:

BOZA Staff Report

# CUMBERLAND ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS STAFF REPORT 

ZA 20-02: Knox Street<br>Setback Modification - Conditional Use

## September 23, 2020

## OVERVIEW:

On August 28, 2020, applicant William Rothman, of Rocon, LLC, on behalf of property owners Dominic and Marcy Dearcangelis, filed a petition (ZA 20-02), which is subject to specific conditions as specified in Section 25-206 (g) in the Cumberland Zoning Ordinance, for a request for setback modification to construct a speculative Cellular Communications Tower located on Knox Street. The Zoning Ordinance authorizes the Board of Appeals to consider the variance request as a conditional use approval. A copy of the application and all associated submittals is attached to this report.

The applicant is seeking this setback relief from Section 25-206 (g) of the Cumberland Zoning Ordinance, which requires that each telecommunication tower have a minimum setback of one (1) foot from all adjacent property lines for every foot of height of the tower. As specifically applied to the applicant's 195 -foot tall proposed tower structure, a 195 -foot minimum setback from each property line would be required from the center-point of the tower. Per the originally submitted site plans (a copy of which is attached to this report), the applicants were seeking relief for the right yard setback.

## OCTOBER 20, 2020 ADDENDUM

Subsequent to the revised October 7, 2020 Legal Notice, on October 19, 2020, Matthew Gilmore, attorney on behalf of applicant William Rothman, submitted supplemental documents and changes to the project site plans. These new site plans show significant changes to the placement and fall zone of the proposed Cellular Communication Tower. A copy of the new site plans and associated submittals are attached to this report.

As per the most recently submitted site plans (received on October 19, 2020), the greatest setbacks that can be achieved are 544 feet and 6 inches ( $544^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) to the rear, 73 feet and 6 inches ( $73^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) to the front, 26 feet to the right side, and 34 feet and 2 inches ( $34^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ ) to the left side. The newly reported fall zone of the tower is twenty-five feet ( $25^{\prime}$ ), (certified and submitted by the original project Engineer), as noted in the site plan on page 14 and in the letter on page 19 of this report. The newly reported fall zone meets all setbacks and seeks approval from the Zoning Board of Appeals, as noted in Section 25-206 (g) (5) of the Zoning

Ordinance. The entire lot in question is somewhat 'L'-shaped, measuring one-hundred thirtytwo feet ( $132^{\prime}$ ) in the front yard along Knox Street, reaching back five-hundred forty-six feet ( $546^{\prime}$ ) on the right side, cutting left fifty-one feet ( $51^{\prime}$ ), coming down the left side four-hundred seventy-six feet (476'), jutting out to the left twenty-two feet (22'), coming down fifty feet (50'), jutting out to the left seventy-six feet ( $76^{\prime}$ ), and coming down forty-five feet ( $45^{\prime}$ ) to meet the front left side of the lot. The fenced in leased area intended to house the proposed cellular communications tower is thirty-five feet ( $35^{\prime}$ ) by seventy feet ( $70^{\prime}$ ) in size.

## PROCEDURAL STATUS:

The applicant prepared a Preliminary Plot Plan on July 2, 2020. These site plans were revised and resubmitted with their application on August 28' 2020. The application was reviewed by City Staff and was determined to comply with all basic requirements on the Zoning Ordinance, with the exception of the side yard setbacks. Based on this determination, staff scheduled the petition for a public hearing before the Zoning Board of Appeals on October 21, 2020. The applicant submitted further revised site plans on October 19, 2020, relocating and reducing the fall zone of the proposed tower. Action on the petition for modifications to the setback requirements must be approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals before staff can take any further action on the Revised Preliminary Plat Plan.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff reviewed the packet of information filed by the applicant with the Conditional Use petition and confirmed that the proposed tower does not satisfy the minimum setback required by Section 25-206 (g). The applicant is seeking approval for setback modifications per Section 25$206(\mathrm{~g})(5)$ of the Zoning Ordinance.

Staff has not determined in the proposed tower has been approved by the Federal Aviation Administration for compliance with F.A.R. Part 77 and any other formal review that may be required to ensure that the tower will not create an air navigation hazard for planes arriving and departing from the Greater Cumberland Regional Airport in Wiley Ford, WV. Such approvals may be necessary for this project to be constructed. The Zoning Board of Appeals is authorized to issue a variance from specific requirements of the City's Zoning Ordinance only, and no assurance can be implied or suggested that the applicant will be relieved from any subsequent requirements that may be imposed by any cognizant Federal or State Agency (either in Maryland or West Virginia) regardless of whether or not such requirements are more restrictive than any relief that may be granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals. Written
approval by the FAA will be required of the applicant prior to filing an application for a building permit.

According to Section 25-174 (i) of the Zoning Ordinance, the applicant shall bear the "burden of proof" for any conditional use or variance application before the Zoning Board of Appeals. Under Maryland Law, this "burden of proof" requires that the applicant produce evidence to the Board in support of the request and to persuade the Board of the justification for the requested relief based on that evidence. Based on the materials submitted with the application and a site investigation of the area, staff can offer the following additional facts and determinations for the Board's consideration with regard to the application:

## OCTOBER 20, 2020 ADDENDUM:

1. The subject property is located in the I-G - Industrial General Zone.
2. There are no applicable height restrictions in the I-G zone that would limit the ultimate height of the proposed tower. The only applicable height restriction within the zone is fifty (50) stories, and an antenna tower has no stories.
3. There were 15 parcels identified within two-hundred feet ( $200^{\prime}$ ) of the proposed tower location. While the parcel in question is located in the Industrial General Zone, it borders the Business Highway and Urban Residential Zones. There were 3 residential land use parcels and 1 residential/commercial land use parcels identified within twohundred feet ( $200^{\prime}$ ) of the proposed tower location.
4. The applicant has asserted that the engineered fall zone for the proposed tower is twenty-five feet ( $25^{\prime}$ ) from the center-point, according to the letter and site plan in the appendix, in which there were no residences identified. Attaches is a letter from the engineer providing certification that asserts that the tower has been designed to collapse in a way that will result in a fall radius no greater than twenty-five feet ( $25^{\prime}$ ).
5. The applicant has indicated that AT\&T will use 2 of the 4 antennas, with the remaining 2 available for future leasing.
6. The applicant has provided no specific justification for the proposed one-hundred ninety-five foot (195’) height of the tower.

In order to approve the requested variance, the Zoning Board of Appeals must find that the request satisfies the 7 specific criteria outlined in Section 25-175 (1) (c) of the Zoning Ordinance. The following list indicates the minimum questions that should be asked of any applicant for a conditional use to address the aforementioned criteria. The Zoning Board of Appeals may ask additional related or follow-up questions, but must make findings of fact for its decision that address the basic questions below:

1 a. How is the proposed use in accordance with the Cumberland Comprehensive Plan?
b. How is the proposed use consistent with the spirit, purpose, and intent of the Zoning Ordinance?

2 How is the proposed use in the best interests of the City, the convenience of the community, and the public welfare?
3. How do you show that the proposed use is suitable for the property in question and will be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained so as to be in harmony with and appropriate in appearance with the existing or intended character of the general vicinity?
4. Does the proposed use comply with all applicable requirements of this Ordinance?
5. How is the proposed use suitable in terms of permitting the logical, efficient, and economical extension of public services and facilities, such as public water, sewers, police and fire protection, and public schools?
6. How is the proposed use suitable in terms of effects on street traffic and safety with adequate sidewalks and vehicular access arrangements to protect major streets from undue congestion and hazard?
7. How do you show that the proposed use is in complete conformance with the performance standards contained in Section 25-138 of this Ordinance?

Staff recommends that the Zoning Board of Appeals apply the following conditions to any approval of the petition:

1. Approval of this Petition by the City does not relieve the owner and applicant from the responsibility of compliance with all applicable local, state, and federal codes, ordinances, and regulations lawfully in effect at later stages of the approval and development process.
2. Documentation or written approval by the Federal Aviation Administration and noninterference with flight approach, departure, and air hazard safety zones associated with and surrounding the Greater Cumberland Regional Airport shall be provided by the applicant prior to approval of a building permit for the proposed tower.
3. While this application is to be handled as a Conditional Use, according to Section 20-206 (g) (5), the 7 legal requirements/standards attached to variance petitions should still be reviewed in regards to this project, since dimensional issues are prominent.
4. In a previous Communication Tower project, the Board imposed required liability insurance as a condition of approval. The precedence of this condition is not required by any ordinance, but due to the proximity of neighboring parcels, a similar condition could be considered by the Board.
5. The Board should consider any site-specific adverse impacts that are inherent to Cellular Communication Towers. These adverse impacts that might be considered cannot be those which would exist regardless of where the use would exist in the applicable zone.

## Board of Appeals Action:

[ ] Approve the requested Conditional Use Setback Modification petition in accordance with the findings of fact indicated on the ZA 20-02 Zoning Appeal form, and with the following additional conditions of approval, if deemed necessary, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
[ ] Deny the requested Conditional Use Setback Modification, based on the following findings of fact:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Motion by: $\qquad$
Seconded by: $\qquad$
Vote:
In favor of motion:

Opposed: $\qquad$ Abstained: $\qquad$
Number of voting members present: $\qquad$
Signed:

## Chair, Zoning Board of Appeals

Date: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$
Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

## APPENDIX A

## Permit Application, Maps, \& Documentation

## ZONING APPEAL REVIEW APPLICATION

- Variance Petition - public hearing required
x Conditional Use or Special Exception - public hearing required
$\square$ Appeal from an Administrative Decision - public hearing required
- Approval Extension Request (no fee)

Requirements vary depending on the type of appeal
All appeals must be applied for in writing, accompanied by a written explanation of the rationale or justification for the extension.

Project Location Knox Street, Cumberland, Maryland 21502 Tax 1D \# 05 - 002389
The Tax low san be found on your deed or by visiting www.dat.state.md.us/Real Property/Real Property Search. When construction is being done and several property account numbers aro irvolved, properties must be combined under one property pumber. It will be necessary to contact the State of Maryand Assessment Office, 112 Baltimcre Street, Gateway Center, 301-777-2113, prior to applying.

Applicant Name Rocon, LLC Contact Name William Rothman
Address 9101 Chesapeake Avenue, Sparrow's Point, Maryland 21219
Phone $\frac{443-804-8007}{410-499-7010}$
o Attach a site plan drawn to scale and bearing the dimensional requirements for which the variance is being sought.
All boundaries of the property must be shown and all buildings lotated correctly to scale within them. This may
include minimum yard setbacks, maximum building coverage, height requirements and size requirements for signs

- Provide written justification addressing the variance legal requirements from the Zoning Ordinance 3607 , Section
7.05 .021 .
- The basic submission requirements for Conditional Use or Special Exception application are specified in the Zoning
Ordinance 3607 , Section 7.04 .09 (2).
Certain uses (listed in Zoning Ordinance 3607 , Section 8 . 06 ) will have additional special requirements that the
applicant must satisfy in writing for approval by the Board.
- An Appeal from an Administrative Decision should include a copy of the denial (or reasons stated for the denial) and a
statement of the applicant's rationale or reasons why the decision should be overturned.
All appeals require a public hearing.
There is a non-refundable $\$ 300$ Zoning Appeal review fee payable at time of application.
There is no fee for an approval extgefsign request.
Applicant's signature:


# GMPG Geppert, McMullen, Paye \& Getty 

October 15, 2020

City of Cumberland<br>Board of Zoning Appeals<br>Attn: Jeff Rhodes, City Administrator<br>57 N. Liberty St.<br>Cumberland, MD 21502

## RE: Application for Conditional Use-Knox Street (Tax Account No. 05-002389)

## Dear Board Members:

This office represents Rocon, LLC ("Rocon"), a telecommunications tower company leasing a portion of property owned by Dominic and Marcy Dearcangelis, on Knox Street abutting the CSX railway (the "Property"). On August 28, Rocon submitted an application for a conditional use to modify the setback requirements for a proposed cellular communications tower on the Property (the "Tower"). Since then, the proposed location of the Tower and certain design specifications have changed. Specifically, the fall radius of the Tower has been determined to be 25 feet, as shown on the enclosed letter and site plan. Additionally, the Tower would now be located at a different location within the compound. As requested, the design and foundation drawings for the Tower are also enclosed. Please consider this correspondence a supplement to the legal justification submitted with the application, based upon the modified specifications. We also request that the enclosed specifications be used during the Board of Zoning Appeal's review process in place of the letter, site plan, and design/foundation drawings previously submitted.

With a 25 -foot setback, the Tower fulfills all requirements for a conditional use, as set forth in the legal justification. To provide additional context, Rocon's application for a conditional use modification of a permitted use in the Property's zoning district is subject to the City of Cumberland's Zoning Ordinance, which is consistent with Maryland law governing special exceptions (i.e. conditional use). In short, a conditional use for a use otherwise permitted in the same zoning district should only be denied when it is shown that the use in the proposed location would have an adverse effect greater than that inherent to such use, irrespective of its location within the same zone. Here, the proposed Tower in the location shown on the updated site plan would have no greater impact there than it would anywhere else in the Industrial-Gateway district where the Property is located.

[^0]City of Cumberland
Board of Zoning Appeals
October 15, 2020
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A conditional use is distinct from a variance and may be granted without a showing of unnecessary hardship. Martin Marietta Aggregates v. Citizens for Pres. of S. Mountain-Antietam $E n v^{\prime} t, 41 \mathrm{Md}$. App. 26, 34, 395 A.2d 179, 183 (1978). A conditional use contemplates a permitted use once prescribed conditions are met. In other words, "a special exception [conditional use] is a use which has been legislatively predetermined to be conditionally compatible with the uses permitted as of right in a particular zone, the condition being that a zoning body must, in each case, decide under specified statutory standards whether the presumptive compatibility in fact exists." Creswell v. Baltimore Aviation Serv., Inc., 257 Md. 712, 719, 264 A.2d 838, 842 (1970).

A conditional use is appropriate where there are no facts or circumstances in a particular case changing this presumptive finding. Montgomery Cty. v. Merlands Club, Inc., 202 Md. 279, 287, 96 A.2d 261, 264 (1953). To warrant a denial of a conditional use, there must be a finding that "the special exception use [conditional use] and location proposed would cause an adverse effect upon adjoining and surrounding properties unique and different, in kind or degree, than that inherently associated with such a use regardless of its location within the zone." People's Counsel for Baltimore Cty. v. Mangione, 85 Md. App. 738, 750, 584 A.2d 1318, 1324 (1991). A conditional use will only fail where the adverse effect from the proposed use would be above and beyond the adverse effects inherently associated with such use, irrespective of its location within the zone. Schultz v. Pritts, 291 Md. 1, 22-23, 432 A.2d 1319, 1331 (1981). A zoning board of appeals' decision to deny a cellular tower conditional use because of its impact on rural views has been held to have violated the Federal Telecommunications Act and to be contrary to Maryland law regarding conditional uses. T-Mobile Ne. LLC v. Frederick Cty. Bd. of Appeals, 761 F. Supp. 2d 282, 287288 (D. Md. 2010).

The Property in question is located in the Gateway-Industrial zoning district where cellular communications towers are permitted as of right. The proposed Tower has therefore been legislatively predetermined, by the City of Cumberland in adopting its Zoning Ordinance, to be compatible with the permitted uses in that zoning district. Accordingly, the requested conditional use for a setback modification should only be denied if the adverse effects of the Tower in this location would exceed those inherent to such use elsewhere in the same zone.

A cellular communications tower at the proposed location with a 25 -foot setback from all adjacent lot lines would have no greater adverse effect upon adjoining and surrounding properties than it would in any other location within the Gateway-Industrial zone. With the 25 -foot fall radius ensuring the Tower's safety with respect to surrounding properties, there is no other feature of the Tower with the requested conditional use that would have any adverse effects greater than those inherent to cellular communications towers in general.

## City of Cumberland

Board of Zoning Appeals
October 15, 2020
Page Three

Moreover, a communications tower on the Property in question is consistent with the stated purpose of the Gateway-Industrial district, which is "to permit and encourage a mixture of industrial/commercial and residential uses within the North Mechanic Street/North Centre Street Corridor". Zoning Ordinance, Section 25-101(b)(12). If approved, the Tower would support this purpose by providing essential communication services to nearby businesses and residents, in harmony with the blended character of this zone.

The public meeting on Rocon's application is currently set for October 21. We are prepared to go forward with the hearing and hope that the scheduled date can be maintained. However, if it is not possible to keep the current hearing date, we will be happy to reschedule a hearing as early as is convenient for the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter. Please do not hesitate to reach out if you need any supplemental or follow-up information regarding the Tower specifications.

Very truly yours,
GEPPERT, McMULLEN, PAYE \& GETTY


JMG/fle
cc: Bill Rothman
Enclosures






## ANTENNA LAYOUT PLAN



1 Fairholm Avenue
Peoria, IL 61603 USA
Phone 309-566-3000
FAX 309-566-3079
Toll Free 800-727-ROHN

October 16, 2020

Rocon LLC
9101 Chesapeake Ave
Sparrow's Point, MD 21219
Attn: Bill Rothman

Reference: 195' Tapered Steel Pole
Site Name: Winifred
Allegany County (Cumberland), MD
File \# 235008

## Dear Mr. Rothman

The referenced pole is designed to meet the specified loading requirements in accordance with ANSI!TIA-222-G for a 115 MPH ASCE 7-10 Factored wind speed with no ice and a 30 MPH 3-second gust wind speed with . 75 inch radial ice, Structure Class: II; Exposure Category: C and Topographic Category: 1.

It is our understanding that the design of the referenced pole requires consideration of a contained fall radius in the event that a catastrophic wind speed would result in collapse. Although the pole is not designed to fail, stronger sections than required by analysis is provided in the lower sections of the pole. This will result in an increased safety factor in the lower sections. This design enables the pole to fail through a combination of bending and buckling in the upper portion of the pole under a catastrophic wind loading. Failure in this manner will result in the upper portion of the pole folding over the lower portion, resulting in a fall radius no greater than 25 ft . The failure mode will theoretically be a local buckling failure involving a crippling of the pole wall on one side of the pole as opposed to the pole shearing off or completely breaking off and hitting the ground.

Please contact us at your convenience should you have further questions concerning the safety of pole structures or other aspects of pole design.


DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2020

PURCHASER: ROCON LLC
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { PROJECT: } & 195 \text { FT TAPERED STEEL POLE } \\ & \text { WINIFRED SITE, CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND }\end{array}$
FILE NUMBER:235008

DRAWINGS: 235008-01-D1 R4, 235008-01-FI, B090548

I CERTIFY THAT THE REFERENCED DRAWINGS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY SUPERVISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DESIGN AND LOADING CRITERIA SPECIFIED BY THE PURCHASER AND THATIAM A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.

THE REFERENCED FOUNDATION DESIGN IS BASED ON PRESUMPTIVE SOIL PARAMETERS. A GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION SHALL BE PERFORMED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION FOR COMPETENT PROFESSIONAL EXAMINATION AND VALIDATION OF THE SUITABILITY OF THE PRESUMPTIVE SOIL PARAMETERS FOR THE SITE.

## CERTIFIED BY:





POLE DESIGN INCLUDES CONSIDERATION OF A CONTAINED FALL RADIUS EQUAL TO 25＇－0＂BY
PROVIDING STRONGER SECTIONS THAN REQUIRED BY ANALYSIS IN THE LOWER PORTION OF
THE POLE．


 16．DESGGNASSUMES ALL ANTENNAS ARE MOUNTED SYMMETRICALLY TO MINIMIZE TORQUE，IF 5．THEPURCHASES SHALL VERIFYTHATACTUALSITE SOILPARAMITTRS MEETOREXCEEDTIA 3．DESIGN ASSUMES THAT，AS A MINMUM，MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION WILL BE

 STRUCTURAL STEEL AND CONNECTION BOLTS SHALL BE HOT－DIPPED GALVANIED AFTER
FABRICATIN，IN ACCORDNACE WITANSITAA－222－G．



 ANTENNAS ANDLLNESLISTEDIN POLE DESIGN LOADING TABLE ARE PROVIDED BY OTHERS
UNLESSOTHERWISE SPECIFIED．
 Sㅋ№N 7Vy


| 6.95 | 0＇s9 | 0009 0 | 69＇z9 | 09＇\＆9 | 00：8t | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.1 | 0＇s9 | SLEt＇0 |  | 9て＇¢ | 00．8t | เ |
| $8 \cdot 6$ | 0．99 | SLEt＇0 | 78．¢ | $9 \angle 9 t$ | 00：8t | $\varepsilon$ |
| ¢＇9 | 0．99 | Sてトど0 | ع8＇9z | ＋L L L | 00．8t | 乙 |
| $8 \cdot$ | 0＇s9 | 00gz＇0 | ¢L＇zz | ع¢\％ 8 | カ¢゙ャて | 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { (SdIY) } \\ \text { LHЭIGM } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{X_{A}}{(\text { ISY })}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { (NI) } \\ \text { YOHI } \\ \text { TTY } \end{array}$ | dOL | LOG | $\begin{gathered} \text { (LLd) } \\ \text { HLONGT } \end{gathered}$ | NOILO ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NVIU |  |  |
| ятกสяhวs nOLLכяs |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| ．8／G－1（己ト） |  | 991． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ．．8／G－ト（てん） | INกOW d7 $\forall$ NO <br> 0ヶXZHบ゙と（8ト）89980เ008（ટト） | G 21 |
| ．＂8／G－ト（ट） | INกOW d7 $\forall$ NO 0ャХ乙Hบ゙と（8ト）89980ト008（टト） | S81 |
| ．．8／G－L（てよ） | ıNกOWd7 $\forall \mathrm{NO}$ <br>  | dO1 |
|  |  | dO1 |
| （NON）GZIS TNIT | ONIGVOT VNNGLNV |  |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ЭNIGVOT NЭISAG GTOd |  |  |





## TowerSoft

ENGINEERING SOFTWARE
TSTowar - v 5.8.6 Tower Analyaia Program
(c) 1997-2020 TowerSoft www.TSTowar.com

Peoria, J:L
File: W: \Joba \2020\235008\ENGJ:NB:ERJ:NG \235008 .out
Contract: 235008
Project: 195 FT TSP
Data and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM
Project: 195 FT TSP
Data and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Reviaion: 0
Site: WJ:NJ:FRED-MD
Engineer: SWG
Site: WJ:NJ:FRED -MD
Engineer: SWG

## DESIGN SPECIFICATION

Design Standard: ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Add. 2
Ultimate Design Wind Speed (No Ice)= 115.0 (mph)
Nominal Design Wind Speed (No Ice)= 89.1 (mph)
Basic $\backslash I I J i n d$ Speed ( IIIJith fee) $=30.0$ (mph)
Design Ice Thickness= 0.75 (in)
Structure Class= II
Exposure Category= C
Topographic Category= 1

Set. Length Overlap To\} ~ D i a . B o t ~ D i a l . ~ T h i c k ~

| 24.62 | 0.00 | 22.75 | 28.26 | 0.2500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48.00 | 3.67 | 26.94 | 37.68 | 0.3125 |
| 48.00 | 4.92 | 35.95 | 46.69 | 0.4375 |
| 48.00 | 6.08 | 44.45 | 55.19 | 0.4375 |
| 48.21 | 7.17 | 52.71 | 63.50 | 0.5000 |



195.00

MAXIMUM BASE REACTIONS
154.9
49.5

78004


TSTower - v 5.8.6 Tower Analysis Program
(c) 1997-2020 TowerSoftwww.TSTower.com

File: W: \Jobs \2020\235008\ENGJ:NEERJ:NG \235008.out
Contract: 235008
Project: 195 FT TSP
Date and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Section A: PROJECT DATA

| Project Title: | 195 FT TSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Customer Name: | ROCON LLC |
| Site: | WINIFRED- MD |
| Contract No.: | 235008 |
| Revision: | 0 |
| Engineer: | SWG |
| Date: | Aug 4 2020 |
| Time: | $10: 27: 52$ AM |
| Project Notes: | 65 FT FALL RADIU |
|  |  |
| Design Standard: | ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Addendum 2 |

GENERAL DESIGN CONDITIONS

```
Start wind direction:
End wind direction:
Increment winddirection:
Elevation above ground:
Gust Response Factor Gh:
Structure class:
Exposure category:
Topographic category:
ropographic category: 1
Material Density: 490.1(lbs/ftA3)
Young's Modulus: 29000.0(ksi)
Poisson Ratio:
Weight Multiplier:
WIND ONLY CONDITIONS:
Ultimate Design Wind Speed (No Ice): 115.00(mph)
Nominal Design Wind Speed (No Ice):
Directionality Factor Kd:
Importance Factor I:
Wind Load Factor:
Dead Load Factor:
WIND AND ICE CONDITIONS:
Basic Wind Speed (WithIce):
Directionality Factor Kd:
Wind Load Importance Factor Iw:
Ice Thickness Importance Factor Ii:
Ice Thickness:
Ice Density:
Wind Load Factor:
Dead Load Factor:
Ice Load Factor:
WIND ONLY SERVICEABILITY CONDITIONS:
Serviceability Wind Speed:
Directionality Factor Kd:
Importance Factor I:
Wind Load Factor:
Dead Load Factor:
EARTHQUAKE CONDITIONS:
Site class definition:
Spectral response acceleration Ss:
Spectral response acceleration Sl:
Accelaration-based site coefficient Fa:
Velocity-based site coefficient Fv:
Design spectral response acceleration Sds:
Design spectral response acceleration Sdl:
```

End wind direction.
Increment wind direction:
Elevation above ground:
Gust Response Factor Gh:
Structure class:
Topographic category
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Material Density: } & 490.1(l \mathrm{bs} / \mathrm{ft} \\ \text { Young's Modulus: } & 29000.0(\mathrm{ksi})\end{array}$
Poisson Ratio:
Weight Multiplier:
WIND ONLY CONDITIONS:
Ultimate Design wind speed (No Ice):
Directionality Factor Kd:
Importance Factor I:
Dead Load Factor

WIND AND ICE CONDITIONS:
Basic Wind Speed (With Ice):
Wind Load Importance Factor Iw:
Ice Thickness Importance Factor Ii:
Ice Thickness:
Wind Load Factor:
Dead Load Factor:
Ice Load Factor:
WIND ONLY SERVICEABILITY CONDITIONS:
Serviceability Wind Speed:
Directionality Factor Kd:
Inat

Dead Load Factor:
EARTHQUAKE CONDITIONS:
Site class definition:
acceleration Ss:
Accelaration-based site coefficient Fa:
Design spectral response acceleration $S d s$ :
Design spectral response acceleration Sdl:
0.00 (Deg)
$315.00 \quad$ (Deg)
315.00 (Deg)
0.00 (ft)
1.10

II
C
0.30
1.06
115.00 (mph)
89.08 (mph)
0.95
1.00

1. 60
1.20
30.00 (mph)
0.95
1.00
1.00
0.75 (in)
56.19 (lbs/ftA3)
1.00
1.20
1.00
60.00 (mph)
0.85
1.00
1.00
1.00

D
0.118
0.053
0.053
1.600
2. 400
0.126
0.085
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Licensed to: ROHN Products LLC Peoria, J:L

Revision: 0
Site: WJ:NJ:FRED- MD
Engineer: SWG

TSTowar - v 5.8.6 Tower Analysis Program
(c) 1997-2020 TowarSoft www.TSTowar.com

Licensed to: ROHN Products LLC Peoria, IL

| Fila: W: \Jobs $\backslash 2020 \backslash 235008 \backslash E N G I N E E R I N G \backslash 235008 . o u t$ | Revision: |
| :--- | :---: |
| Contract: 235008 | Sita: WINIFRED- MD |
| Project: 195 FT TSP | Engineer: SWG |
| Data and Time: $8 / 4 / 202010: 28: 13 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |


| Seismic analysis method: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fundamental frequency of structure fl: | 0.272 |
| Total seismic shear Vs (Kips) | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ |

Analysis performed using: TowerSoft Finite Element Analysis Program

TSTower - v 5.8.6 Tower Analyaia Program
(c) 1997-2020 TowerSoft www.TSTower.com

File: W: \Jobs\2020\235008\ENGINEERING\235008. out
Contract: 235008
Project: 195 FT TSP
Date and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Section B: STRUCTURE GEOMETRY

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (ft) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Bottom Diameter (in) |  |  | Diamet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 195.00 |  | 63.5022 .75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sect. <br> No | Length (ft) | Overlap (ft) | Bot D <br> (in) | Top Dia. (in) | Thick. (in) | Sides | Joint Type | Yield <br> Stress <br> (ksi) | Mass (lbs) | Calculated Taper (in/ft) |
| 5 | 24.62 | 0.00 | 28.26 | 22.75 | 0.2500 | 18-sided | Telescop c | 65.0 | 1780.0 | 0.22372 |
| 4 | 48.00 | 3.67 | 37.68 | 26.94 | 0.3125 | 18-sided | Telescop c | 65.0 | 5494.8 | 0.22372 |
| 3 | 48.00 | 4.92 | 46.69 | 35.95 | 0.4375 | 18-sided | Telescop c | 65.0 | 9829.8 | 0.22372 |
| 2 | 48.00 | 6.08 | 55.19 | 44.45 | 0.4375 | 18-sided | Telescop c | 65.0 | 11874.1 | 0.22372 |
| 1 | 48.21 | 7.17 | 63.50 | 52.71 | 0.5000 | 18-sided | Flange | 65.0 | 15898.7 | 0.22372 |

Page B 1

TSTower - v 5.8.6 Tower Analyai• Program
(c) 1997-2020 TowerSoftwww.TSTower.com

File: W: \Joba\2020\235008\ENGINEERING 235008 .out Contract: 235008
Project: 195 FT TSP
Date and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Licenaed to: ROHN Products LLC Peoria, IL

Section D: TRANSMISSION LINE DATA

| No. | ```Bot El (ft)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Top El } \\ & (\mathrm{ft}) \end{aligned}$ | Desc. | Radius <br> (ft) | Az. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.00 | 195.00 | 3/8" CABLE | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 195.00 | LDF7P-50A | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 185.00 | LDF7P-50A | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 175.00 | LDF7P-50A | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 165.00 | LDF7P-50A | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Transmission Lines Details |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Desc. |  | Width <br> (in) | Depth (in) | Unit Mass (lb/ft) |
| 1 | 3/8" | CABLE | 0.38 | 0.38 | 1.00 |
| 2 | LDF7P | -50A | 2.01 | 2.01 | 0.92 |
| 3 | LDF7P | -50A | 2.012 | 2.01 | 0.92 |
| 4 | LDE7P | -50A | 2.01 | 2.01 | 0.92 |
| 5 | LDF7P | -50A | 2.012 | 2.01 | 0.92 |

TSTower - v 5.8.6 Tower Analyaia Program Licensed to: ROHN Products LLC
(c) 1997-2020 TowerSo $t$ www.TSTower.com

Peoria, IL
File: W: \Joba\2020\235008\ENGINEERING 235008 .out
Contract: 235008
Revision: 0
Project: 195 FT TSP
Sita: WINIFRED- MD
Data and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM
Engineer: SWG

```
Section F: POINT LOAD DATA
```

Structure Azimuth from North:0.00

POINT LOADS


TSTowar - v 5.8.6 Tower Analy■is Program
(c) 1997-2020 TowerSoft www.TSTowar.com

Lic•n••d to: ROHN Products LLC Peoria, IL

Fila: W:\Jobs\2020\235008\ENGINEERING\235008.out
Contract: 235008
Project: 195 ll'TTSP
Data and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Revision: 0
Sita: WINIFRED- MD Engineer: SWG

Section H: STRUCTURE DISPLACEMENT DATA

Load Combination
Wind Direction

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Elev. } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-S Disp } \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W-E Disp } \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vert.Disp } \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ Rot (deg) | W-E Rot (deg) | Twist Rot (deg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 195.00 | -59.5 | 59.4 | -1.0 | 2.89 | 2.91 | 0.02 |
| 190.81 | -56.9 | 56.9 | -1.0 | 2.89 | 2.90 | -0.02 |
| 186.62 | -54.4 | 54.3 | -0.9 | 2.87 | 2.88 | -0.01 |
| 182.43 | -51.9 | 51.8 | -0.8 | 2.85 | 2.86 | -0.01 |
| 178.23 | -49.4 | 49.3 | -0.8 | 2.82 | 2.82 | -0.01 |
| 174.04 | -46.9 | 46.9 | -0.7 | 2.77 | 2.78 | -0.01 |
| 170.38 | -44.8 | 44.8 | -0.7 | 2.73 | 2.74 | -0.01 |
| 162.49 | -40.4 | 40.4 | -0.6 | 2.62 | 2.63 | -0.01 |
| 154.61 | -36.1 | 36.1 | -0.5 | 2.49 | 2.50 | -0.01 |
| 146.73 | -32.1 | 32.1 | -0.4 | 2.34 | 2.34 | -0.01 |
| 138.84 | -28.4 | 28.4 | -0.3 | 2.17 | 2.17 | -0.01 |
| 130.96 | 25.0 | 25.0 | -0.3 | 1.99 | 2.00 | -0.01 |
| 126.04 | 23.0 | 23.0 | -0.3 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 0.00 |
| 118.64 | 20.2 | 20.2 | -0.2 | 1.76 | 1.76 | 0.00 |
| 111.24 | 17.5 | 17.5 | -0.2 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 0.00 |
| 103.84 | 15.1 | 15.1 | -0.1 | 1.50 | -1.50 | 0.00 |
| 96.44 | 12.9 | 12.9 | -0.1 | 1.38 | -1.38 | 0.00 |
| 89.04 | 10.8 | 10.8 | -0.1 | 1.26 | -1.26 | 0.00 |
| 82.96 | 9.3 | 9.3 | -0.1 | 1.16 | -1.16 | 0.00 |
| 76.01 | 7.7 | 7.7 | -0.1 | 1.04 | -1.04 | 0.00 |
| 69.06 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.93 | -0.93 | 0.00 |
| 62.11 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.82 | -0.82 | 0.00 |
| 55.16 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.71 | -0.71 | 0.00 |
| 48.21 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.60 | -0.60 | 0.00 |
| 41.04 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.50 | -0.50 | 0.00 |
| 34.20 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.41 | -0.41 | 0.00 |
| 27.36 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.32 | -0.32 | 0.00 |
| 20.52 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.24 | -0.24 | 0.00 |
| 13.68 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.16 | -0.16 | 0.00 |
| 6.84 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.08 | -0.08 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | O.OU | 0.00 |

TSTower - v 5.8.6 Tower Analysis Program
(C) 1997-2020 TowerSoft www.TSTower.com

Licensed to: ROHN Products LLC Peoria, :IL

File: W: \Jobs \2020\235008\ENGJ:NEERJ:NG\235008.out
Contract: 235008
Project: 195 FT TSP
Date and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Reviaion: 0
Site: WJ:NJ:FRED- MD Engineer: SWG
Section K: POLE OUTPUT LOAD DATA
Load Combination
Wind Direction

| Elev. (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial } \\ (\text { kips }) \end{gathered}$ | Shear Ld. (kips) | Torque (kipsft) | Bend Mom. (kipsft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 195.00 | 18.68 | 9.12 | 8.87 | 18.15 |
| 190.81 | 18.68 | 9.12 | 8.86 | 43.75 |
| 190.81 | 19.34 | 9.46 | 8.85 | 43.66 |
| 186.62 | 19.34 | 9.46 | 8.84 | 82.98 |
| 186.62 | 31.17 | 15.21 | 7.63 | 82.15 |
| 182.43 | 31.17 | 15.21 | 7.62 | 145.36 |
| 182.43 | 38.91 | 18.95 | 8.48 | 145.84 |
| 178.23 | 38.91 | 18.95 | 8.48 | 224.00 |
| 178.23 | 43.84 | 21.30 | 6.54 | 222.58 |
| 174.04 | 43.84 | 21.30 | 6.53 | 311.08 |
| 174.04 | 58.62 | 28.33 | 0.09 | 307.79 |
| 170.38 | 58.62 | 28.33 | 0.09 | 410.79 |
| 170.38 | 65.93 | 31.61 | 2.63 | 410.88 |
| 162.49 | 65.93 | 31.61 | 2.61 | 657.11 |
| 162.49 | 80.49 | 38.15 | 8.34 | 660.59 |
| 154.61 | 80.49 | 38.15 | 8.32 | 957.79 |
| 154.61 | 82.57 | 38.80 | 8.24 | 957.24 |
| 146.73 | 82.57 | 38.80 | 8.23 | 1260.75 |
| 146.73 | 84.75 | 39.42 | 8.15 | 1260.24 |
| 138.84 | 84.75 | 39.42 | 8.15 | 1567.40 |
| 138.84 | 87.01 | 40.03 | 8.08 | 1566.91 |
| 130.96 | 87.01 | 40.03 | 8.08 | 1878.86 |
| 130.96 | 88.90 | 40.50 | 8.03 | 1878.49 |
| 126.04 | 88.90 | 40.50 | 8.03 | 2075.81 |
| 126.04 | 91.56 | 41.08 | 7.99 | 2075.47 |
| 118.64 | 91.56 | 41.08 | 7.99 | 2377.09 |
| 118.64 | 94.89 | 41.81 | 7.95 | 2376.70 |
| 111.24 | 94.89 | 41.81 | 7.95 | 2682.26 |
| 111.24 | 97.76 | 42.45 | 7.92 | 2681.91 |
| 103.84 | 97.76 | 42.45 | 7.92 | 2993.63 |
| 103.84 | 100.73 | 43.08 | 7.89 | 2993.30 |
| 96. 44 | 100.73 | 43.08 | 7.8'! | :.:1:308.39 |
| 96. 44 | 103.79 | 43.71 | 7.86 | 3308.08 |
| 89.04 | 103.79 | 43.71 | 7.86 | 3629.26 |
| 89.04 | 106.65 | 44.26 | 7.84 | 3629.00 |
| 82.96 | 106.65 | 44.26 | 7.85 | 3895.35 |
| 82.96 | 110.32 | 44.84 | 7.83 | 3895.12 |
| 76.01 | 110.32 | 44.84 | 7.83 | 4204.41 |
| 76.01 | 114.23 | 45.40 | 7.82 | 4204.18 |
| 69.06 | 114.23 | 45.40 | 7.82 | 4517.47 |
| 69.06 | 117.37 | 45.88 | 7.81 | 4517.66 |
| 62.11 | 117.37 | 45.88 | 7.81 | 4834.46 |
| 62.11 | 120.60 | 46.34 | 7.80 | 4834.65 |
| 55.16 | 120.60 | 46.34 | 7.80 | 5154.86 |
| 55.16 | 123.89 | 46.79 | 7.79 | 5155.02 |
| 48.21 | 123.89 | 46.79 | 7.80 | 5478.53 |
| 48.21 | 127.32 | 47.21 | 7.79 | 5478.66 |
| 41.04 | 127.32 | 47.21 | 7.79 | 5814.61 |
| 4.1 .04 | 132.27 | 47.67 | 7.79 | 5814.73 |
| 34.20 | 132.27 | 47.67 | 7.79 | 6140.11 |
| 34.20 | 137.36 | 48.08 | 7.79 | 6140.21 |
| 27.36 | 137.36 | 48.08 | 7.79 | 6467.06 |
| 27.36 | 141.17 | 48.41 | 7.79 | 6467.12 |
| 20.52 | 141.17 | 48.41 | 7.79 | 6798.08 |
| 20.52 | 145.04 | 48.70 | 7.79 | 6798.13 |
| 13.68 | 145.04 | 48.70 | 7.79 | 7129.72 |
| 13.68 | 148.98 | 48.95 | 7.79 | 7129.75 |



Licensed to: ROHN Products LLC Peoria, IL

Program
(c) 1997-2020 TowarSoftwww.TSTower.com

| File: W: \Jobs $\backslash 2020 \backslash 235008 \backslash E N G I N I C E R I N G \backslash 235008 . o u t ~$ | Revision: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contract: 235008 | Site: WINIFRED- MD |
| Project: 195 FT TSP | Engineer: SWG |
| Data and Time: $8 / 4 / 202010: 28: 13 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |


| 6.84 | 148.98 | 48.95 | 7.79 | 7464.90 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.84 | 152.94 | 49.18 | 7.79 | 7464.90 |
| 0.00 | 152.94 | 49.18 | 7.79 | 7800.42 |
| Base | 154.92 | 49.52 | 7.79 | 7800.43 |

## TowerSoft

TSTower - v 5.8.6 Tower Analysis Program (c) 1997-2020 TowerSoft www.TSTower.com

File: W: \Jobs\2020\235008\ENGINEERING\235008. out Contract: 235008
Project: 195 FT TSP
Date and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Revision: 0 Site: WINIFRED- MD Engineer: SWG

Section L: STRENGTH ASSESSMENT DATA
Load Combination Max Envelope

Wind Direction

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Elev. } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | Axial Ld. (kips) | Axial Cap (kips) | Moment (kipsft) | Mom. Cap (kipsft) | Assess. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 195.00 | 18.68 | 1326.40 | 18.15 | 611.93 | 0.044 |
| 190.81 | 18.68 | 1381.68 | 43.75 | 664.28 | 0.032 |
| 190.81 | 19.34 | 1381.68 | 43.66 | 664.28 | 0.069 |
| 186.62 | 19.34 | 1436.96 | 82.98 | 718.78 | 0.119 |
| 186.62 | 31.17 | 1436.96 | 82.15 | 718.78 | 0.120 |
| 182.43 | 31.17 | 1492.23 | 145.36 | 775.43 | 0.193 |
| 182.43 | 38.91 | 1492.23 | 145.84 | 775.43 | 0.196 |
| 178.23 | 38.91 | 1532.87 | 224.00 | 826.34 | 0.278 |
| 178.23 | 43.84 | 1532.87 | 222.58 | 826.34 | 0.278 |
| 174.04 | 43.84 | 1572.52 | 311.08 | 878.27 | 0.363 |
| 174.04 | 58.62 | 1572.52 | 307.79 | 878.27 | 0.362 |
| 170.38 | 65.93 | 2022.49 | 410.88 | 1137.89 | 0.371 |
| 162.49 | 65.93 | 2152.45 | 657.11 | 1289.67 | 0.519 |
| 162.49 | 80.49 | 2152.45 | 660.59 | 1289.67 | 0.525 |
| 154.61 | 80.49 | 2282.41 | 957.79 | 1450.97 | 0.672 |
| 154.61 | 82.57 | 2282.41 | 957.24 | 1450.97 | 0.672 |
| 146.73 | 82.57 | 2391.01 | 1260.75 | 1607.40 | 0.796 |
| 146.73 | 84.75 | 2391.01 | 1260.24 | 1607.40 | 0.797 |
| 138.84 | 84.75 | 2483.78 | 1567.40 | 1760.55 | 0.902 |
| 138.84 | 87.01 | 2483.78 | 1566.91 | 1760.55 | 0.903 |
| 130.96 | 87.01 | 2572.86 | 1878.86 | 1917.74 | 0.992 / |
| 130.96 | 88.90 | 2572.86 | 1878.49 | 1917.74 | 0.993 V |
| 126.04 | 91.56 | 3777.31 | 2075.47 | 2833.52 | 0.742 |
| 118.64 | 91.56 | 3948.10 | 2377.09 | 3097.09 | 0.776 |
| 118.64 | 94.89 | 3948.10 | 2376.70 | 3097.09 | 0.777 |
| 111.24 | 94.89 | 4118.89 | 2682.26 | 3372.38 | 0.804 |
| 111.24 | 97.76 | 4118.89 | 2681.91 | 3372.38 | 0.805 |
| 103.84 | 97.76 | 4289.68 | 2993.63 | 3659.40 | 0.827 |
| 103.84 | 100.73 | 4289.68 | 2993.30 | 3659.40 | 0.828 |
| 96.44 | 100.73 | 4460.47 | 3308.39 | 3958.14 | 0.845 |
| 96.44 | 103.79 | 4460.47 | 3308.08 | 3958.14 | 0.846 |
| 89.04 | 103.79 | 4615.27 | 3629.26 | 4253.86 | 0.863 |
| 89.04 | 106.65 | 4615.27 | 3629.00 | 4253.86 | 0.863 |
| 82.96 | 110.32 | 4652.16 | 3895.12 | 4334.71 | 0.910 |
| 76.01 | 110.32 | 4768.33 | 4204.41 | 4596.61 | 0.925 |
| 76.01 | 114.23 | 4768.33 | 4204.18 | 4596.61 | 0.926 |
| 69.06 | 114.23 | 4881.64 | 4517.47 | 4863.16 | 0.940 |
| 69.06 | 117.37 | 4881.64 | 4517.66 | 4863.16 | 0.941 |
| 62.11 | 117.37 | 4992.08 | 4834.46 | 5134.06 | 0.953 |
| 62.11 | 120.60 | 4992.08 | 4834.65 | 5134.06 | 0.954 |
| 55.16 | 120.60 | 5099.67 | 5154.86 | 5409.04 | 0. 965 |
| 55.16 | 123.89 | 5099.67 | 5155.02 | 5409.04 | 0.965 |
| 48.21 | 123.89 | 5204.38 | 5478.53 | 5687.83 | 0.975 |
| 48.21 | 127.32 | 5204.38 | 5478.66 | 5687.83 | 0.976 |
| 41.04 | 132.27 | 6243.23 | 5814.73 | 6901.54 | 0.854 |
| 34.20 | 132.27 | 6370.58 | 6140.11 | 7244.38 | 0.858 |
| 34.20 | 137.36 | 6370.58 | 6140.21 | 7244.38 | 0.859 |
| 27.36 | 137.36 | 6495.16 | 6467.06 | 7592.06 | 0.863 |
| 27.36 | 141.17 | 6495.16 | 6467.12 | 7592.06 | 0.864 |
| 20.52 | 141.17 | 6616.97 | 6798.08 | 7944.31 | 0.867 |
| 20.52 | 145.04 | 6616.97 | 6798.13 | 7944.31 | 0.868 |
| 13.68 | 145.04 | 6735.99 | 7129.72 | 8300.87 | 0.871 |
| 13.68 | 148.98 | 6735.99 | 7129.75 | 8300.87 | 0.871 |
| 6.84 | 148.98 | 6852.25 | 7464.90 | 8661.48 | 0.874 |
| 6.84 | 152.94 | 6852.25 | 7464.90 | 8661.48 | 0.875 |
| 0.00 | 152.94 | 6965.73 | 7800.42 | 9025.87 | 0.877 |

File: W: \Jobs \2020\235008\ENG:INEER: ING\235008.out Contract: 235008
Project : 195 B'T TSP
Date and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM

Peoria, IL

Revision: 0
Sita: Wl:Nl:li'Rll:D-MD
Engineer: SWG

Section M: SECTION PROPERTIES DATA

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elev. } \\ & \text { (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Diam. (in) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | Thick. (in) | W/t | Area (inA2) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s} \\ & \text { (inA3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 195.0 | 22.7 | 3.5 | 0.250 | 14.0 | 17.9 | 98.84 |
| 190.8 | 23.7 | 3.7 | 0.250 | 14.7 | 18.6 | 107.29 |
| 190.8 | 23.7 | 3.7 | 0.250 | 14.7 | 18.6 | 107.29 |
| 186.6 | 24.6 | 3.8 | 0.250 | 15.4 | 19.3 | 116.10 |
| 186.6 | 24.6 | 3.8 | 0.250 | 15.4 | 19.3 | 116.10 |
| 182.4 | 25.6 | 4.0 | 0.250 | 16.0 | 20.1 | 125.25 |
| 182.4 | 25.6 | 4.0 | 0.250 | 16.0 | 20.1 | 125.25 |
| 178.2 | 26.5 | 4.2 | 0.250 | 16.7 | 20.8 | 134.74 |
| 178.2 | 26.5 | 4.2 | 0.250 | 16.7 | 20.8 | 134.74 |
| 174.0 | 27.4 | 4.3 | 0.250 | 17.4 | 21.6 | 144.59 |
| 174.0 | 27.4 | 4.3 | 0.250 | 17.4 | 21.6 | 144.59 |
| 170.4 | 28.3 | 4.5 | 0.250 | 17.9 | 22.2 | 153.49 |
| 170.4 | 27.8 | 4.3 | 0.313 | 13.7 | 27.2 | 183.79 |
| 162.5 | 29.5 | 4.6 | 0.313 | 14.7 | 29.0 | 208.31 |
| 162.5 | 29.5 | '1. 6 | 0.313 | 14.7 | 29.0 | 208.31 |
| 154.6 | 31.3 | 4. 9 | 0.313 | 15.7 | 30.7 | 234.36 |
| 154.6 | 31.3 | 4.9 | 0.313 | 15.7 | 30.7 | 234.36 |
| 146.7 | 33.0 | 5.2 | 0.313 | 16.6 | 32.5 | 261.94 |
| 146.7 | 33.0 | 5.2 | 0.313 | 16.6 | 32.5 | 261.94 |
| 138.8 | 34.8 | 5.5 | 0.313 | 17.6 | 34.2 | 291.06 |
| 138.8 | 34.8 | 5.5 | 0.313 | 17.6 | 34.2 | 291.06 |
| 131.0 | 36.6 | 5.8 | 0.313 | 18.6 | 36.0 | 321.72 |
| 131.0 | 36.6 | 5.8 | 0.313 | 18.6 | 36.0 | 321.72 |
| 126.0 | 37.7 | 6.2 | 0.313 | 19.3 | 37.1 | 341.62 |
| 126.0 | 37.1 | 5.7 | 0.438 | 12.9 | 50.8 | 457.66 |
| 118.6 | 38.7 | 6.0 | 0.438 | 13.6 | 53.1 | 500.24 |
| 118.6 | 38.7 | 6.0 | 0.438 | 13.6 | 53.1 | 500.24 |
| 111.2 | 40.4 | 6.2 | 0.438 | 14.3 | 55.4 | 544.70 |
| 111.2 | 40.4 | 6.2 | 0.438 | 14.3 | 55.4 | 544.70 |
| 103.8 | 42.0 | 6.5 | 0.438 | 14.9 | 57.7 | 591.06 |
| 103.8 | 42.0 | 6.5 | 0.438 | 14.9 | 57.7 | 591.06 |
| 96.4 | 43.7 | 6.8 | 0.438 | 15.6 | 60.0 | 639.31 |
| 96.4 | 43.7 | 6.8 | 0.438 | 15.6 | 60.0 | 639.31 |
| 89.0 | 45.3 | 7.1 | 0.438 | 16.3 | 62.3 | 689.45 |
| 89.0 | 45.3 | 7.1 | 0.438 | 16.3 | 62.3 | 689.45 |
| 83.0 | 46.7 | 7.4 | 0.438 | 16.8 | 64.2 | 732.09 |
| 83.0 | 45.8 | 7.2 | 0.438 | 16.5 | 63.0 | 704.53 |
| 76.0 | 47.4 | 7.5 | 0.438 | 17.1 | 65.2 | 753.87 |
| 76.0 | 47.4 | 7.5 | 0.438 | 17.1 | 65.2 | 753.87 |
| 69.1 | 48.9 | 7.8 | 0.438 | 17.7 | 67.3 | 804.88 |
| 69.1 | 48.9 | 7.8 | 0.438 | 17.7 | 67.3 | 804.88 |
| 62.1 | 50.5 | 8.0 | 0.438 | 18.3 | 69.5 | 857.57 |
| 62.1 | 50.5 | 8.0 | 0.438 | 18.3 | 69.5 | 857.57 |
| 55.2 | 52.0 | 8.3 | 0.438 | 19.0 | 71.6 | 911.92 |
| 55.2 | 52.0 | 8.3 | 0.438 | 19.0 | 71.6 | 911.92 |
| 48.2 | 53.6 | 8.6 | 0.438 | 19.6 | 73.8 | 967.94 |
| 48.2 | 53.6 | !1. 6 | 0.438 | 19.6 | 73.8 | 967.94 |
| 41.0 | 55.2 | 8.9 | 0.438 | 20.2 | 76.0 | 1027.46 |
| 41.0 | 54.3 | 8.6 | 0.500 | 17.2 | 85.4 | 1132.94 |
| 34.2 | 55.8 | 8.8 | 0.500 | 17.7 | 87.8 | 1198.59 |
| 34.2 | 55.8 | 8.8 | 0.500 | 17.7 | 87.8 | 1198.59 |
| 27.4 | 57.4 | 9.1 | 0.500 | 18.2 | 90.3 | 1266.08 |
| 27.4 | 57.4 | 9.1 | 0.500 | 18.2 | 90.3 | 1266.08 |
| 20.5 | 58.9 | 9.4 | 0.500 | 18.8 | 92.7 | 1335.43 |
| 20.5 | 58.9 | 9.4 | 0.500 | 18.8 | 92.7 | 1335.43 |
| 13.7 | 60.4 | 9.7 | 0.500 | 19.3 | 95.1 | 1406.62 |
| 13.7 | 60.4 | 9.7 | 0.500 | 19.3 | 95.1 | 1406.62 |
| 6.8 | 62.0 | 9.9 | 0.500 | 19.9 | 97.5 | 1479.66 |
| 6.8 | 62.0 | '). 9 | 0.500 | 19.9 | 97.5 | 1479.66 |
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File: W: \Joba\2020\235008\ENGINEERING\235008
Contract: 235008
Licensed to: ROHN Products LLC Peoria, IL

Project: 195 FT TSP
Revision: 0
Date and Time: 8/4/2020 10:28:13 AM
Sita: WINIFRED- MD
Engineer: SWG

Customer: ROCON LLC
Project: 195 Ff TSP
Site: WINIFRED-MD
Engr. File: 235008
Build Code: ANS1/TIA-222-O-2005

## Mat Foundation

Desiy $\boldsymbol{P}$ Parameters

|  | Load Case |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Service |
| Total Moment, ft-kips | $8,248.19$ | $8,082.77$ | $1,223.69$ | 194.67 | 190.48 | $1,961.29$ |
| Total Shear, kips | 52.36 | 52.30 | 6.80 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 12.55 |
| Total Tower Wt, kips | 92.32 | 69.21 | 163.81 | 87.44 | 65.58 | 72.86 |
| Max. Uplift. kips | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA |
| Shear, kips | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA |
| Max Download, kips | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA |
| Shear | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA |
| Soil L.F. | 1.20 | 0.90 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0.90 | 1.00 |
| Concrete L.F. | 1.20 | 0.90 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0.90 | 1.00 |


| Foundation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Ht. AGL, ft | 0.50 |
| Depth, ft . | 6.00 |
| Pole | 5.29 |
| Butt OD, ft | .00 |
| Offset, in | NIA |
| Soil | NIA |
| Blow Count | 110.00 |
| lnplace Unit Wt, pcf | 60.00 |
| Submerged Unit Wt, pcf | 30.00 |
| Friction Angle, <!>, deg. | NIA |
| Cohesion. ksf | 30.00 |
| Uplift Angle, deg. | None |
| Water Depth, ft |  |
|  | 5.00 |
| Ult Bearing Capacity, ksf |  |


| Mat |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Thickness, ft | 2.00 |
| Width, ft | 30.50 |
| EA, in | 23.00 |
| Batter, $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}$ | 0.00 |


| Pier |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Height, ft | 4.50 |
| Diameter, ft | 8.00 |
| No. Piers | 1 |
| Shape | Square |


| Anchor Bolts |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Diameter, in | 2.2500 |
| No. | 24.00 |
| Length. in | 70.00 |
| Bolt Circle, in | 13.00 |
| Projection, in | 4.50 |
| Concrete | 150.00 |
| 28 Day Strength, ksi | 88.00 |
| Dry Unit Wt, pcf |  |
| Wet Unit Wt, pcf |  |


| Pocket |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Diameter , in | NIA |
| Thickness, ft | NIA |

## Results

| «» MN - Parallel Axis | $8,936.10$ | ft-kips |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| «» MN - Diagonal Axis | $9,088.12$ | ft-kips |
| Moment - Interaction Ratio | 0.943 |  |
| «৷ V N - Lateral Load | 185.78 | kips |
| Lateral Load - Interaction Ratio | 0.282 |  |

Final Mat Dimension : $30.50 \times 30.50 \times 2.00 \mathrm{ft}$. thick w/ (I) 8.00 ft . Square Pier
Final Pocket Dimension : Pockets not required
Total Volume of Concrete : 79.6 yd $^{3}$
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Customer: ROCONLLC
Project: 195 FT TSP
Site: WINIFRED-MD
Engr. File:
Build Code

Mat Foundation

## OTM Capacity

Controlling Load Case: 2 [Wind w/Min. Dead Load]
Foundation Width $=30.50 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{Mu}=8,422.7 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}$

|  | <l>MN, ft-kips | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{ft}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathrm{cr},,$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parallel | $8,936.1$ | 6.374 | 0.209 | 5.00 |
| Diagonal | $9,088.1$ | 13.942 | 0.323 | 5.00 |


| $<!>\mathrm{MN}=8,936.10 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}$ | $!$ Ratio $=0.943$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| (j)VN $=185.78 \mathrm{kips}$ | !Ratio $=0.282$ |

## Mat Design

$\mathrm{Ye}=123.33 \mathrm{pcf}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Moment, ft-kios/ft |  | Shear, kips/ft |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exterior <br> Slab | $\mathbf{x , f t}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | CJK, ksf | P. <br> kips | Psu <br> kips | DownLoad <br> Side | Uplift <br> Side | Download <br> Side | Uplift <br> Side |  |
| Parallel | 7.704 | 0.253 | 3.10 | 21.27 | 0.00 | 134.39 | 63.32 | 18.73 | 10.62 |  |
| Diagonal | 15.253 | 0.354 | 3.13 | 21.27 | 0.00 | 84.15 | 36.67 | 18.78 | 8.35 |  |


| Punching |  | Downlo |  |  | Uplift |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | Interior | Edge | Corner | Interior | Edge | Corner | Description |
| bo, ft | 38.33 | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA |  |
| Vsu, psi | 137.22 | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA |  |
| <!>Ve, psi | 208.25 | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | 2-Way Shear |
| IR | 0.66 | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA |  |
| 0.5* Mut, ft-kips | 2,545.1 |  |  | NIA |  |  | Moment transfer to slab |
| $\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{ft}$ | 14.0 |  |  | NIA |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mu}, \mathrm{ft-kips/ft}$ | 181.8 |  |  | NIA |  |  |  |
| Edge Distances: $\mathrm{a}=15.25 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |  | $\mathrm{b}=15.25 \mathrm{ft} . \quad \mathrm{c}=15.25 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |  |


| Summary | Max. <br> Value | Utilization |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slab Moment, ft-kips/ft | 181.80 | 0.975 |
| Slab Shear, kips/ft | 18.78 | 0.722 |
| Punching Shear, psi | 137.22 | 0.659 |
| Soil Bearing Required, <JuR, ksf | 4.17 | 0.834 |


| Mat Reinforcement |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| Min. Steel Area (Strength) | 2.257 in ' ft. |
| Min. Steel Area (Temperature) | $.259 \mathrm{in'} / \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Steel Strain Actual | 0.010 |
| Minimum Steel Strain Required | 0.005 |

56 - \#10 Horizontal bars equally spaced @ 6.55 in., each way, top and bottom, total of $224, \mathrm{~A},=2.326 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$
/

Designed By: _SWG
Date: 04 Aug.20@10:52 AM
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Date:


## Pier Design

Controlling Load Case: I [Wind w/Max. Dead Load]
$\mathrm{C}=92.32 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{T}=.00 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{Fy}=60.00 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{Ve}=52.36 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{Mc}=8,483.81 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{Vt}=.00 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{Mt}=.00 \mathrm{ft}$-kips
$\mathbf{H}=96.00 \mathrm{in}$.
Ds $=87.00 \mathrm{in}$.
L.F. $=1.00$

$$
\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}=4.50 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$\mathrm{U}=1.00$
Irs = Square
*** NOTE : Pier cross section is Square ***

## SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

| Minimum area of steel required | $=49.020 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  | $($ Rhomin $=0.0053)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area of ste I provided. | $=50.671 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  | $($ Rhoactual $=0.0055)$ |
| Maximum (eel arealimit | $=579.060 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  | (Rhomax $=0.0628)$ |

(40) \#10 Vertical Bars equally spaced w/ \#5 Circular Ties @ 6" on center.

## CIRCULAR TIE DATA

$\mathrm{Vu}<0.85^{*} \mathrm{Vc} / 2$, shear reinforcement is not required
Use maximum tie spacing specified in ACI 318,
Section 7.10.5 for compression reinforcement.

## DEVELOPMENT LENGTH MODIFIERS FOR BAR DEVELOPMENT

Modifier for tension development $\quad=1.000$<br>Modifier for compression development $=0.692$<br>REQUIRED Ld =MODIFIER* BASIC Ld * AC! 318 MODIFIERS, (12 in. min.)

$\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$
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